

# Transforming our World through Universal Design for Human Development

*Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference  
on Universal Design (UD2022)*



Editors: Ilaria Garofolo  
Giulia Bencini  
Alberto Arengi



An environment, or any building product or service in it, should ideally be designed to meet the needs of all those who wish to use it. Universal Design is the design and composition of environments, products, and services so that they can be accessed, understood and used to the greatest extent possible by all people, regardless of their age, size, ability or disability. It creates products, services and environments that meet people's needs. In short, Universal Design is good design.

This book presents the proceedings of UD2022, the 6th International Conference on Universal Design, held from 7 - 9 September 2022 in Brescia, Italy. The conference is targeted at professionals and academics interested in the theme of universal design as related to the built environment and the wellbeing of users, but also covers mobility and urban environments, knowledge, and information transfer, bringing together research knowledge and best practice from all over the world. The book contains 72 papers from 13 countries, grouped into 8 sections and covering topics including the design of inclusive natural environments and urban spaces, communities, neighborhoods and cities; housing; healthcare; mobility and transport systems; and universally-designed learning environments, work places, cultural and recreational spaces. One section is devoted to universal design and cultural heritage, which had a particular focus at this edition of the conference.

The book reflects the professional and disciplinary diversity represented in the UD movement, and will be of interest to all those whose work involves inclusive design.



ISBN 978-1-64368-304-1 (print)

ISBN 978-1-64368-305-8 (online)

ISSN 0926-9630 (print)

ISSN 1879-8365 (online)

TRANSFORMING OUR WORLD THROUGH  
UNIVERSAL DESIGN FOR HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

# Studies in Health Technology and Informatics

International health informatics is driven by developments in biomedical technologies and medical informatics research that are advancing in parallel and form one integrated world of information and communication media and result in massive amounts of health data. These components include genomics and precision medicine, machine learning, translational informatics, intelligent systems for clinicians and patients, mobile health applications, data-driven telecommunication and rehabilitative technology, sensors, intelligent home technology, EHR and patient-controlled data, and Internet of Things.

Studies in Health Technology and Informatics (HTI) series was started in 1990 in collaboration with EU programmes that preceded the Horizon 2020 to promote biomedical and health informatics research. It has developed into a highly visible global platform for the dissemination of original research in this field, containing more than 250 volumes of high-quality works from all over the world.

The international Editorial Board selects publications with relevance and quality for the field. All contributions to the volumes in the series are peer reviewed.

Volumes in the HTI series are submitted for indexing by MEDLINE/PubMed; Web of Science: Conference Proceedings Citation Index – Science (CPCI-S) and Book Citation Index – Science (BKCI-S); Google Scholar; Scopus; EMCare.

## Series Editors:

B. Blobel, O. Bodenreider, E. Borycki, M. Braunstein, C. Bühler, J.P. Christensen, R. Cooper, R. Cornet, J. Dewen, O. Le Dour, P.C. Dykes, A. Famili, M. González-Sancho, E.J.S. Hovenga, J.W. Jutai, Z. Kolitsi, C.U. Lehmann, J. Mantas, V. Maojo, A. Moen, J.F.M. Molenbroek, G. de Moor, M.A. Musen, P.F. Niederer, C. Nøhr, A. Pedotti, N. Peek, O. Rienhoff, G. Riva, W. Rouse, K. Saranto, M.J. Scherer, S. Schürer, E.R. Siegel, C. Safran, N. Sarkar, T. Solomonides, E. Tam, J. Tenenbaum, B. Wiederhold, P. Wilson and L.H.W. van der Woude

## Volume 297

### *Recently published in this series*

- Vol. 296 R. Röhrig, N. Grabe, V.S. Hoffmann, U. Hübner, J. König, U. Sax, B. Schreiweis and M. Sedlmayr (Eds.), German Medical Data Sciences 2022 – Future Medicine: More Precise, More Integrative, More Sustainable! – Proceedings of the Joint Conference of the 67th Annual Meeting of the German Association of Medical Informatics, Biometry, and Epidemiology e.V. (gmds) and the 14th Annual Meeting of the TMF – Technology, Methods, and Infrastructure for Networked Medical Research e.V. 2022 online in Kiel, Germany
- Vol. 295 J. Mantas, P. Gallos, E. Zoulias, A. Hasman, M.S. Househ, M. Diomidous, J. Liaskos and M. Charalampidou (Eds.), Advances in Informatics, Management and Technology in Healthcare

ISSN 0926-9630 (print)  
ISSN 1879-8365 (online)

# Transforming our World through Universal Design for Human Development

Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Universal  
Design (UD2022)

Edited by

**Ilaria Garofolo**

*University of Trieste, Italy*

**Giulia Bencini**

*Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Italy*

and

**Alberto Arenghi**

*University of Brescia, Italy*



**IOS Press**

Amsterdam • Berlin • Washington, DC

© 2022 The authors and IOS Press.

This book is published online with Open Access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0).

ISBN 978-1-64368-304-1 (print)

ISBN 978-1-64368-305-8 (online)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2022943405

doi: 10.3233/SHTI297

The image on the front cover represents the Winged Victory of Brescia, a bronze statue from the first century CE. The statue is preserved in the Roman Archaeological Park in Brescia.

*Publisher*

IOS Press BV

Nieuwe Hemweg 6B

1013 BG Amsterdam

Netherlands

fax: +31 20 687 0019

e-mail: [order@iospress.nl](mailto:order@iospress.nl)

*For book sales in the USA and Canada:*

IOS Press, Inc.

6751 Tepper Drive

Clifton, VA 20124

USA

Tel.: +1 703 830 6300

Fax: +1 703 830 2300

[sales@iospress.com](mailto:sales@iospress.com)

LEGAL NOTICE

The publisher is not responsible for the use which might be made of the following information.

PRINTED IN THE NETHERLANDS

## Preface

*“All over the world, people are struggling for a life that is fully human, a life worthy of human dignity. Countries and states are often focused on economic growth alone, but their people, meanwhile, are striving for something different: they want meaningful human lives.”* (Martha C. Nussbaum, 2012. *Creating Capabilities*, p. 1, Cambridge, Massachusetts and London, UK, Harvard University Press)

From its first edition in 2012, the journey of the international conference on Universal Design has been the story of an expanding intellectual and practical movement. The aim of this movement is to put into practice the aspirations and goals of human-centred approaches to sustainable development founded on human rights, human development and equality for all, such as those encoded in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals and the Convention on the rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD).

After the first meeting in Norway (Oslo, 2012), which was organised by several enlightened governmental bodies in the Scandinavian region as a forum for the exchange of views and sharing of good practice in Universal Design, the second edition in Lund in 2014 saw the entry of academia, with wide participation from across academic disciplines, setting the stage for UD practitioners, researchers and educators to connect directly and to share ideas, research and practice.

The role of academic institutions in organising the UD conference (York, 2016, Dublin, 2018 and Helsinki, 2021) has persisted across successive editions, strengthening over time, as universities have increasingly recognised and taken on board their responsibility as primary actors in working towards societies that are founded on equity, justice and sustainable development for all human beings through their research, educational and outreach activities.

The 2022 edition, held in the historic town of Brescia, Italy, marks another landmark in the journey of the UD movement, as it crosses the alps to be hosted in southern Europe for the first time. Three Italian Universities – the Universities of Brescia, Trieste, and Ca’ Foscari University of Venice – have joined forces to make this edition possible, opening up a space for conversations between researchers, educators and policy-makers in a truly multi-disciplinary vision for UD.

The title: *Transforming our World for Human Development* is intentionally aimed at realising broad sustainable development goals from a person-centred UD perspective by engaging delegates in a conversation across cultural, geographical, and disciplinary boundaries about what sustainable development really means. This was eloquently put by our dear colleague and friend Elio Borgonovi:

*“There is much talk about renewable energies, resources and circular economies. Most of the time, however, we forget that human beings, with their characteristics and capabilities, provide the most precious renewable energy of all. Human capabilities develop with age and grow through education and experience. People flourish when they are given the chance to exercise their potential. This potential is exercised in social and natural environments when human beings can contribute*

*with their physical, intellectual, rational and emotional participation, by people, with people and for people.*” (Address delivered at the University of Brescia, December 17th, 2020).

The sessions of the 2022 edition are characterised by their multi-disciplinary and multi-perspective nature, with sessions aimed at the design of inclusive natural environments and urban spaces, communities, neighbourhoods and cities, housing, healthcare, and educational facilities, mobility and transport systems, moving on to universally-designed learning environments, work places, cultural and recreational spaces. Contributions come from 13 different countries and various continents (Africa, Australia, Central America, East Asia, Europe, North America, South Asia) once again demonstrating that this is a growing international movement.

Our special thematic session is dedicated to Universal Design and Cultural Heritage. We believe that cultural heritage is part of what makes our lives human and meaningful. Providing full access for all human beings to cultural heritage combines two fundamental values crucial for human development and flourishing: cultural heritage provides each and every person with the possibility to engage meaningfully with their cultural and historical past, and at the same time it develops the awareness in each human being of the value of conserving the past so that we can better live in and understand the present.

A distinctive characteristic of the UD conference is the coming together of academic, governmental and professional communities under one roof. Our wish and invitation for the conference is for openness to others and to perspectives and experiences that may be different from our own, letting go of professional and disciplinary barriers, engaging with each other with empathy and curiosity. The experience of being so long deprived of face-to-face interaction due to the Covid-19 pandemic has made everyone more aware of the value of coming together during live conferences, in formal and informal ways.

The professional and disciplinary diversity represented in the UD movement is what allows us to transcend current existing separations between communities of knowledge and communities of practice, as well as existing separations between academic disciplines. Only when knowledge, practice and research from different disciplines are allowed to engage meaningfully and to feed into each other in a virtuous circle, can the power of ideas and actions become truly transformational.

Brescia, September 2022

Ilaria Garofolo, University of Trieste  
Giulia Bencini, Ca' Foscari University of Venice  
Alberto Arengi, University of Brescia



# About the Conference

## Conference Co-chairs

Alberto Arenghi	Department of Civil, Environmental, Architectural Engineering and Mathematics, University of Brescia
Giulia Bencini	Department of Linguistics and Comparative Cultural Studies, Ca' Foscari University of Venice
Ilaria Garofolo	Department of Engineering and Architecture, University of Trieste

## Conference Organisation

Barbara Rita Barricelli	University of Brescia
Mariachiara Bonetti	University of Brescia
Elena Bortolotti	University of Trieste
Elisa Cacciaguerra	University of Trieste
Barbara Chiarelli	University of Trieste
Carlotta Coccoli	University of Brescia
Simone Fanti	RCS MediaGroup, Milan
Daniela Fogli	University of Brescia
Michaela Mae Vann	Ca' Foscari University of Venice
Martina Pucci	Ca' Foscari University of Venice

## Scientific Committee

Francesc Aragall	President Foundation Design for All, Barcelona, Spain
Giuseppe Arconzo	Associate Professor of Constitutional Law, University of Milan, Italy
Alberto Arenghi	Associate Professor of Building Technology, University of Brescia, Italy
Luca Baraldi	Head of Corporate Identity & Public Affairs Ammagamma, Modena, Italy
Giulia Bencini	Associate Professor of English Language and Linguistics, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Italy
Elio Borgonovi	Senior Professor of Economics and Management of Public Administration, Bocconi University, Milan, Italy
Jane Bringolf	Chair of Centre for Universal Design Australia, Sydney
Anna Cardinaletti	Professor of Linguistics, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Italy

Tiziano Cattaneo	Researcher and Professor of Architectural and Urban Design, University of Pavia, Italy and Tongji University, Shanghai, China
Carlotta Coccoli	Associate Professor of Architectural Restoration, University of Brescia, Italy
Gerald Craddock	Chief Officer of Centre for Excellence in Universal Design – National Disability Authority, Dublin, Ireland
Stefano Della Torre	Professor of Architectural Restoration, President of Società Italiana per il Restauro dell’Architettura, Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy
Giuseppe Di Bucchianico	President EIDD - Design for All Europe, Italy
Oana Diaconescu	Associate Professor of Architectural and Urban Design, Ion Mincu University of Architecture and Urbanism, Bucharest, Romania
Onny Eikhaug	Former President EIDD - Design for All Europe, member of the Board IAUD, Chair of Selection Committee for the IAUD International Design Award Founder Innovation for All AS, Norway
Simone Fanti	Journalist RCS MediaGroup, Milan, Italy
Fabio Ferrucci	Professor of Sociology of Culture, University of Molise, Campobasso, Italy
Alenka Fikfak	Associate Professor, Head of the Chair of Urbanism, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Daniela Fogli	Professor of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Brescia, Italy
Ilaria Garofolo	Professor of Building Technology, University of Trieste, Italy
Emanuele Giorgi	Professor of Architectural and Urban Design, Tecnológico de Monterrey, Mexico
Per-Olof Hedvall	Certec Director, Lund University, Sweden
Pete Kercher	Ambassador EIDD - Design for All Europe, Italy
Antonio Lauria	Professor of Technology of Architecture, Head of the Interdepartmental Laboratory Florence Accessibility Lab, University of Florence, Italy
Inger Marie Lid	Professor of Rehabilitation and Public Health, VID Specialized University, Oslo, Norway
Elena Marchigiani	Associate Professor of Urban Planning, University of Trieste, Italy
Iva Mrak	Head of the Department for Transportation Engineering, Construction Management and Technology and Architecture, Representative at EIDD – Design for All Europe, University of Rijeka, Croatia

Laura Nota	Professor in Psychology of Inclusion and Psychology of Career Counseling, University of Padova, Italy
Gisella Paoletti	Professor of Experimental Pedagogy, University of Trieste, Italy
Marisa Rosalba Pavone	Professor of Special Education and Didactics, University of Torino, Italy
Marcello Pelillo	Professor of Computer Science, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Italy
Helen Petrie	Professor Emerita of Human Computer Interaction, University of York, UK
Michèle Pezzagno	Associate Professor of Town and Regional Planning, University of Brescia, Italy
Giovanni Ramponi	Professor of Electronics, University of Trieste, Italy
Camilla Ryhł	Research Director, BEVICA Fonden, Denmark
Oddbjørn Sørmoen	Senior Adviser for Cultural Heritage, Church of Norway, Oslo
Ira Verma	Researcher in Architecture, Aalto University, Helsinki, Finland

This page intentionally left blank

# Contents

Preface	v
<i>Ilaria Garofolo, Giulia Bencini and Alberto Arenghi</i>	
About the Conference	vii
<b>Section 1. Ethical and Philosophical Perspectives in Universal Design</b>	
The Emancipatory Design Manifesto: Let's Suppose That Disability Does Not Exist	3
<i>Jon Dag Rasmussen and Anne Britt Torkildsby</i>	
Understanding Person-Environment Relationships as Criteria to Support the Operationalization of Universal Designing	12
<i>Oskar Jonsson</i>	
State of Art and Perspectives of Universal Design: The Libyan Approach	20
<i>Ahmed El Rida Al Sharif</i>	
'Frontrunners" Understanding of Universal Design in Architecture	28
<i>Sidse Grangaard and Victoria Linn Lygum</i>	
User Insights for Better and More Inclusive Online Public Services: A Survey Study	36
<i>Till Halbach, Kristin Skeide Fuglerud and Mikael Snaprud</i>	
Methodologies for the Design of University Teaching Spaces in Covid/19 Regime. A BIM Oriented Approach, Defined for the Case Study of the Buildings of the Department of Architecture of the University of Florence (DiDA)	44
<i>Luca Marzi and Shirin Amini</i>	
Understanding the Quality of Life of Indian Elderly During COVID-19 Pandemic from Universal Design Perspective	53
<i>Iram and Gaurav Raheja</i>	
Public Space Accessibility in Vulnerable Areas in Post-Covid Times	61
<i>Deborah Guadalupe Garay Gutiérrez, Emanuele Giorgi and Virginia del Socorro Aceves Tarango</i>	
The Missing Voices of Disabled People	69
<i>Masashi Kajita and Emil Ballegaard</i>	
Making Research More Inclusive: Is Universal Design of Research the Answer?	77
<i>Gerd Berget and Birgit Kvikne</i>	
Towards 3rd Generation Universal Design: Exploring Nonclusive Design	85
<i>Per-Olof Hedvall, Margaret Price, Johnna Keller and Stina Ericsson</i>	
Adaptive Refurbishment for Aging in Place: Design Scenarios of Case Studies in Turin, Italy	93
<i>Elena Montacchini, Silvia Tedesco and Lorenzo Savio</i>	

## Section 2. System and Standards for Universal Design

Can I Get There? Can I Play? Can I Stay? Creating an Inclusive Playspace Guide in Australia	103
<i>Jane Bringolf and Phillipa Carnemolla</i>	
Accessible-to-All Cities. A Project of Networking Italian Experiences to Raise Awareness and Promote Universal Design	111
<i>Francesco Alberti and Barbara Chiarelli</i>	
Universal Design in Exhibit	120
<i>Mark Trieglaff</i>	
360-Degree Films for Cognitive Inclusion at Workplaces	127
<i>Håkan Efring and Sara Kjellstrand</i>	
Mapping Accessibility in Norway – A Tool and Method to Register and Survey the Status of Accessibility in Urban Areas and Recreational Areas	135
<i>Sven Michaelis and Kathrin Bögelsack</i>	
Personalised Solutions for Universal Goals. A Home Adaptation Project for Disabled People in Italy	143
<i>Antonio Laurià, Paolo Costa and Leonardo Chiesi</i>	
Universal Design in Housing in Australia: An Example of People Power	151
<i>Margaret Ward and Jane Bringolf</i>	
Housing Development for All? Learnings from the Ars Longa Case	159
<i>Antti Pirinen</i>	
Perspectives on Accessibility and Its Users Amongst Practicing Danish Landscape Architects	167
<i>Marcus Tang Merit and Marie Christoffersen Gramkow</i>	

## Section 3. Universal Design for Inclusive Communities and Urban Spaces

Systemic Approach to Universal Design of Urban Spaces – Case Study of Trbovlje, Slovenia	177
<i>Kristijan Lavtižar, Janez Grom, Neli Zajc and Alenka Fikfak</i>	
SMARTAGING in Venice. Toward a Definition of Age-Friendly Neighbourhood	185
<i>Rosaria Revellini</i>	
Aging Neighborhood and Social Inclusion – A Case Study	193
<i>Ira Verma</i>	
«Progetto di Vita» and Universal Design for Persons with Disabilities	201
<i>Cristiana Perego, Ilaria Oberti and Angela Silvia Pavesi</i>	
Communities, Sport, Inclusion. Strategies for Parish Complexes — Social Reactivation Through Sport Practice Promotion	209
<i>Francesca Daprà, Erica Isa Mosca, Marco Gola, Andrea Rebecchi, Maddalena Buffoli, Marika Fior, Maria Pilar Vettori and Stefano Capolongo</i>	

Rethinking Play Environments for Social Inclusion in Our Communities <i>Michela Dalpra</i>	218
A “Best Practice” for Inclusive Art Cities: The Case Study of the I-Access Project <i>Aldo R.D. Accardi and Renata Prescia</i>	226
Everyone Inside. Transformation of an Inaccessible Heterotopy. The Case of Buoncammino’s Prison <i>Francesca Musanti</i>	235
<b>Section 4. Urban Scale, Mobility and Service Planning in a Universal Design Perspective</b>	
Policies and Processes for Accessibility from a UD Perspective: The Integrated Approach Supported by the Friuli Venezia Giulia Region (IT) <i>Amanda Burelli and Consuelo Simone</i>	247
Beyond the Norm, the PEBA to Live in Udine <i>Christina Conti, Silvia Cioci and Teresa Sambrotta</i>	255
The City of Lecce (ITA) Accessibility Plan. The Innovative Experience of the Municipal Accessibility Lab <i>Francesca Raimondi, Monica Bercigli, Dora Uricchio and Giuseppe Gaballo</i>	263
Plans for the Removal of Architectural Barriers (PEBAs) from a UD Perspective. An Interdisciplinary Process in the Italian Region Friuli Venezia Giulia <i>Elena Marchigiani, Barbara Chiarelli, Valentina Novak and Andrea Peraz</i>	271
Improving Accessibility and Usability in the Built Environment. Case Study: Guide Lines by the Lombardy Region, Italy <i>Isabella Tiziana Steffan, Armando De Salvatore and Fulvio Matone</i>	280
The Level of Inclusiveness of Current 15-Minute City Models. A Qualitative Analysis on How Far City of Proximity Strategies and Design for All Are Merging <i>Alba Ramirez Saiz, Delfin Jiménez Martín, Patxi Lamiquiz and Andrea Alonso</i>	288
Inclusive Path Through Pavia: A Study to Link the Langobardic Heritage <i>Alessandro Greco, Valentina Giacometti and Elisa Bifano</i>	296
Metropolitan MaaS and DRT Schemes: Are They Paving the Way Towards a More Inclusive and Resilient Urban Environment? <i>Ilaria Delponte and Valentina Costa</i>	304
<b>Section 5. Universal Design for Healthcare</b>	
Interpreting Inclusion for Sanitation Perspectives from India: A Contextual Approach to Universal Design <i>Divyang Purkayastha and Gaurav Raheja</i>	315

Healthcare Facilities and Dementia Development of a Framework to Assess Design Quality	323
<i>Silvia Mangili and Stefano Capolongo</i>	
Designing Hospitals Through the Lens of Universal Design. An Evaluation Tool to Enhance Inclusive Healthcare Facilities	331
<i>Erica Isa Mosca, Jonathan White, Edward Steinfeld and Stefano Capolongo</i>	
Developing Innovative Solutions for Universal Design in Healthcare and Other Sectors	340
<i>Jonathan White and Erica Isa Mosca</i>	
Home-Based Primary Care: Adaptability Criteria for the Bedroom Layout and the Furnitures/Technological Equipments	348
<i>Cristiana Cellucci</i>	
<b>Section 6. Universal Design in Products and Information and Communication Technologies</b>	
Developed an Innovative Handbike Fork Made of Composite Material	359
<i>Luigi Solazzi, Giuseppe Schinetti and Riccardo Adamini</i>	
A Pattern Language for Inclusive Design: A Set of Patterns for Designing Reusable Accessible Solutions	367
<i>Stefano Valtolina and Alessandro Vivian Sisto</i>	
Unidirectional Tactile Paving: Circulation for the Visually Impaired	375
<i>Juan Fernández González and Ankit Gongal</i>	
Toward an Inclusive and Independent Fruition of Architecture: The Use of Scale Models and Augmented Reality	383
<i>Federico Cavalieri, Marianna Rotilio and Pierluigi De Berardinis</i>	
Towards eXtended Universal Design	391
<i>Joschua Thomas Simon-Liedtke and Rigmor Baraas</i>	
Technology Use and Familiarity as an Indicator of Its Adoption in Museum by People with Intellectual Disabilities	400
<i>Marilina Mastrogioseppe, Leandro Soares Guedes, Monica Landoni, Stefania Span and Elena Bortolotti</i>	
A Multisensorial Storytelling Design Strategy to Build Empathy and a Culture of Inclusion	408
<i>Janice Rieger and Marianella Chamorro-Koc</i>	
<b>Section 7. Universal Design and Cultural Heritage</b>	
Does Pure Contemplation Belong to Architecture? The Denied Ramps at the Church of San Salvatore in the Santa Giulia Museum in Brescia	419
<i>Alberto Arengi and Carlotta Coccoli</i>	



World Heritage-Universal Heritage. The Commitment of Brescia Museums Foundation and Brescia Council to Enhance Museums and Public Archaeological Areas	427
<i>Francesca Morandini</i>	
How Can We Ensure Accessibility of Cultural Heritage? Toward Better Utilization of Existing Assets in Japanese Context	435
<i>Satoshi Kose</i>	
The Accessibility of Cultural Heritage. A New Perspective Between Relational Gaze and the Philosophy of Gesture	443
<i>Fabio Ferrucci</i>	
Outside, Around, Inside. New Paths to Discover San Michele Castle (Cagliari, Sardinia)	451
<i>Raffaele Argiolas, Elisabetta Mannai and Valentina Pintus</i>	
Universal Design and Interoperable Digital Platforms Between Conservation and New Fruition Opportunities. The Case Study of Arianna's Domus in Pompeii	459
<i>Renata Picone</i>	
NEAR PROJECT – Accessibility Plan for the Monumental Complex of the Opera di S. Maria del Fiore in Florence. Accessibility as an Element of Social	467
<i>Luigi Vessella</i>	
Values-Based Conservation in Practice – Accessibility at Akershus Castle	475
<i>Christian Ebbesen and Marianne Brenna</i>	
Usability of Visiting Routes in Heritage: The Case Study of Mercati di Traiano	483
<i>Luigi Biocca, Teresa Villani and Federica Romagnoli</i>	
The Economic Impact of Universal Design on Cultural Heritage Contribution to SDGs: Evidence from Italian Museums	491
<i>Renato Camodeca, Alex Almici and M. Cristina Vannini</i>	
Urban Accessibility in World Heritage Cities. Accessibility Considerations in Pedestrian Routes in Historic City Centres	499
<i>Delfín Jiménez Martín, Alba Ramírez Saiz and Miguel Angel Ajuriaguerra Escudero</i>	
Improving the Accessibility of Cultural Sites During Pandemic Through Microclimate Control. The Case of CapsulART Applied to the MANN Museum in Naples	507
<i>Marco Pretelli, Leila Signorelli and Maria Antonietta De Vivo</i>	
Innovative Accessibility Data Inventory Tools for Urban Environments in Historic Sites	515
<i>Daniele Treccani and Sebastiano Marconcini</i>	

## Section 8. Universal Design to Create Inclusive Educational Environments

Universal Design in Primary Schools <i>Karine Denizou</i>	525
Towards a More Inclusive Learning Environment: The Importance of Providing Captions That Are Suited to Learners' Language Proficiency in the UDL Classroom <i>Shamira Venturini, Michaela Mae Vann, Martina Pucci and Giulia M. L. Bencini</i>	533
Universal Design for Learning at University: Technologies, Blended Learning and Teaching Methods <i>Federica Baroni and Marco Lazzari</i>	541
The Future of eXtended Reality in Primary and Secondary Education <i>Joschua Thomas Simon-Liedtke and Rigmor Baraas</i>	549
Challenges in Implementing Universal Design of ICT Among Teachers in Higher Education in Norway <i>Adil Hussain and Norun Christine Sanderson</i>	557
Accessible University: Architectural Design for Special Needs Users Integration. Design Proposals for Politecnico di Torino <i>Angela Lacirignola, Cristina Azzolino and Lorenzo Savio</i>	565
Higher Education and Universal Design in Tanzania. A New Model of Inclusion and Sustainable Development <i>Mariachiara Bonetti and Martin Noel</i>	573
UNIVERCITY. The University as a Metaphor for the City. Processes, Methods, and Tools for Contemporary Design <i>Cognigni Marta, Faroldi Emilio and Vettori Maria Pilar</i>	581
Accessibility Improvement of Public Schools Through User Involvement in JAPAN <i>Maiko Sugawara</i>	589
Evaluation Methodology for Inclusive Schools Environments. A Comparative Analysis Towards Goals and Strategies for Urban Design <i>Maddalena Buffoli, Marika Fior, Federica Delogu, Chiara Donato and Erica Isa Mosca</i>	597
Subject Index	605
Author Index	609

# SMARTAGING in Venice. Toward a Definition of Age-Friendly Neighbourhood

Rosaria REVELLINI<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Architecture and Arts, Università Iuav di Venezia*

**Abstract.** The growing population over 65 years old and the process of urbanization are two of the major challenges that the contemporary city has to address urgently. These issues require a rethinking of public spaces to ensure health and well-being and stimulate active ageing.

The theme of age-friendly cities emerges in this context; it's about inclusive cities harmonised with the Agenda 2030 goals and the Universal Design principles since they support people's lives regardless of age, gender, and abilities.

In particular, the neighbourhood scale represents the optimal one able to implement experimentations for the sustainable development of the city. Furthermore, the neighbourhood is generally the place of the elderly's everyday life where they are encouraged to go out and maintain their daily habits thanks to the existence of a safe and good public realm.

Starting from the case study of the Santa Marta neighbourhood in Venice, the author has conducted a multi-phase analysis to investigate the quality of outdoor public spaces and which activities are played in these spaces to understand how the urban experience and the quality of life of the elderlies can be improved.

At the same time, both the good practices listed by the WHO for the achievement of age-friendly environments and some of the major neighbourhood sustainability assessment tools were studied, paying attention to the social dimension of sustainability, seen as an "accelerator" of urban well-being and inclusiveness.

This paper aims to present the first results of an ongoing research, whose purpose is to draft a new tool able to measure the age-friendliness – called SMARTAGING protocol – of a selected neighbourhood. Specifically, the methodological framework will be better described.

In this regard, the new protocol shall support administrations in the understanding of the phenomena related to ageing by directing active policies and design choices with an increasing focus on citizens and local and social issues acting complying with the principles of Universal Design.

**Keywords.** age-friendly cities and communities, healthy and active ageing; neighbourhood; Venice.

## 1. Introduction

According to the United Nations world is currently undergoing four demographic megatrends: population growth, international migration, urbanization, and population ageing [1]. These trends are differently diffused worldwide but they all affect the sustainable development of the nations.

---

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding Author, Department of Architecture and Arts, Università Iuav di Venezia, Santa Croce 191, 30135 Venezia, Italy; E-mail: rosaria.revellini@iuav.it.

Looking at the population ageing as a phenomenon that affects the “physical” qualities of the built environment, it should be noted that the number of people over 65 is increasing exponentially and that about 49% of the population will have reached this age by 2050 [2]. At the same time, it is estimated that about 68% of the world’s population will live in urbanized contexts by the same date [1].

Both urbanization and population ageing can impact the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the *2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* [3]. Specifically, together they affect the SDG n. 11 *Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable*, since this goal recognizes the centrality of people in urban transformation processes by providing equal opportunities for all, regardless of age, gender, or abilities.

In this scenario, it is possible to affirm that the concept of “age-friendly cities”, introduced officially by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2007 to focus on elderlies’ necessities within cities [4], is in line with the SDG n. 11 and the principles of Universal Design.

The most appropriate urban scale for making considerations in this regard is the neighbourhood one because «as people become older, the neighbourhoods and communities in which they live become more important» [5]. This is the place of the elderly’s everyday life and where the idea of ageing in place is consolidated, so it is the better urban scale to implement this concept [6]. Additionally, older people generally identify the neighbourhood with a community and there they are encouraged to go out and maintain their daily habits thanks to the existence of a safe and good public realm.

Studying the relationship between the elderly and the neighbourhood is an issue of growing interest in different areas (sociology, psychology, urban planning) since it is possible to look at the neighbourhood as a “piece” within the wider urban dimension from which to start and then proceed to a larger scale [7].

Starting from research carried out on the Santa Marta neighbourhood in Venice and a literature review about age-friendly movement and neighbourhood sustainability assessment tools, this paper underlines the importance to have a new age-friendliness assessment tool able to contextualize the ageing phenomenon within cities and objectively evaluate physical and social urban spaces at the neighbourhood scale to direct active policies and design choices with an increasing focus on all citizens.

## 2. About age-friendly cities and communities

The theme of age-friendly cities emerges as a response to the growing phenomenon of “greying of the cities” to encourage active ageing and promote well-being and good quality of life. Among the main factors affecting the birth of the age-friendly idea, there is the increase in the number of people over 65, the desire to create supportive environments to encourage ageing in place as long as possible, as well as awareness of the impacts that urban changes have on the lives of older people [8].

In particular, the impact of ageing populations on cities and vice versa has specific consequences on urban planning, representing one of the greatest challenges of the 21st century [6]. For this reason, age-friendly cities and communities (AFCCs) can address solutions that provide enabling living spaces for older people and beyond.

### 2.1. Toward a definition of AFCCs

The first and most important document about age-friendly cities is *Global age-friendly cities: a guide*, edited by the WHO in 2007 [4]. It identifies 8 topics that characterize an AFFCs which are (Figure 1): outdoor spaces and buildings; transportation; housing; social participation; respect and social inclusion; civic participation and employment; communication and information; community support and health services.

The first three topics relate more closely to the physical environment and have a strong influence on mobility, accessibility, perception of safety and security. Thereafter, the second three topics concern the social and cultural environment that directly impacts physiological well-being. Finally, the last two topics concern specifically the health and social services offered to the elderly.

AFCCs address these issues, each of them may constitute a “barrier” for the elderly, to create opportunities for active and healthy ageing [9].



**Figure 1.** The 8 topics of age-friendly cities presented by the WHO in 2007.

This is the first programme which proposes a comprehensive and multidisciplinary framework concerning a specific demographic cohort which, however, needs global action at the urban level [6]. It was created with elderlies in mind, but it can improve well-being and provide continuous support even to other citizens, regardless of age and abilities [10].

Currently, there is not a unique definition of AFFCs. According to Alley et al. [8], it is «a place where older people are actively involved, valued, and supported with infrastructure and services that effectively accommodate their needs». In addition, for Carpentieri et al. it deals with [11] «a city that manages to compensate for the fragility and physical, cognitive and social changes associated with age, to ensure active ageing, understood as the process of optimising health opportunities, participation and safety to improve the quality of life of ageing people».

In 2018 the WHO [12] states it’s about environments that are «free from physical and social barriers, and are supported by policies, systems, services, products, and technologies that: promote health and build and maintain physical and mental capacity across the life-course; and enable people, even when experiencing capacity loss, to continue to do the things they value».

Having a definition of AFFCs can be useful for carrying out an evaluation and a comparison of the interventions in order aim to increase the number (and the quality) of age-friendly environments.

## 2.2. How to assess the age-friendliness of a city

The 8 topics denote the complexity and multidimensionality of age-friendliness, understood as the ability of communities to encourage and support active ageing through the creation of enabling environments. It is a complex concept, it depends on the context and, for this reason, it is not easy to standardize [13].

Since 2007, the WHO has developed some tools intending to measure the age-friendliness of a city (the checklist in 2007 [14], the core indicators in 2015 [13] and finally the European handbook in 2017 [5]) which, however, present critical, including the lack of a quantitative approach. In fact today, it can be said that an optimal tool for evaluating age-friendly cities and communities has not yet been identified [15].

For example, the *Checklist of essential features of age-friendly cities* [14] is the first attempt aimed to indicate in 84 items the characteristics of an age-friendly city for each of the 8 topics. It is only a qualitative tool because of the lack of regulatory references or benchmarks for the assessment of each item.

Meanwhile, the 23 core indicators are more comprehensive with more accurate definitions. However, it is not a rigorous tool because of its flexibility and adaptability by local administrations. Also in this case there are no benchmarks, which are important for establishing the effectiveness of an action. The WHO declares that the core indicators can be too reductive simplifying complex realities [13].

At last, there is the *Age-friendly environments in Europe* (AFEE) handbook [5] which aims to: get better knowledge and awareness about the topic; sum the phases and the main initiatives to create age-friendly environments; give a rough indication of the indicators to be used for monitoring the projects. There are here 37 action areas and 100 goals. Although it is not a real assessment tool, this handbook represents an important reference for the development of strategies aimed at measuring age-friendliness on the urban scale.

## 3. Neighbourhood sustainability assessment tools

Together with this study, some of the most used neighbourhood sustainability assessment tools have been analysed to understand how to edit an age-friendliness assessment tool. The neighbourhood scale represents the optimal one able to implement experimentations for the sustainable development of the city [16]. Specifically, attention has been paid to the social dimension of sustainability seen as an “accelerator” for the improvement of urban well-being and inclusiveness, since it is strongly interrelated with accessibility, equity, empowerment, participation, and cultural identity [17].

This analysis was useful to comprehend how they are structured and how social indicators can be applied in the new tool too.

### 3.1. Social sustainability

Even though not exhaustive, here it is briefly described the meaning of social sustainability (SS). This is one of the three dimensions of sustainability (environmental, economic, social) but it has always been considered less than the other two ones when applied in policies and practices.

There is not a unique definition, however, it is possible to determine the main aspects of SS: social equity, social cohesion and participation, social exclusion, environmental

justice, security, urban livability, and quality of life [18]. As Colantonio says, they could be distinguished in “soft” components (those intangible aspects like social cohesion) from “hard” ones (those tangible ones like the presence of facilities) [18]. These latter components are influenced by urban physical characteristics. This is the reason why SS and urban form are strictly dependent on each other.

Otherwise, the “intangible” nature of the social dimension and the lack of a clear definition make its assessment difficult to achieve [18].

### 3.2. Social indicators in neighbourhood sustainability assessment tools

Sustainability assessment tools are voluntary systems whose purpose is to certify defined performances of a specific object. They were born at the building scale in the 90s but they were designed even for the neighbourhood scale about a decade later.

Neighbourhood sustainability assessment (NSA) tools are used to evaluate both new constructions and urban renewals. Although globally several tools have been created, they all have a similar structure consisting of general categories, indicators, and benchmarks. They aim to give an objective assessment of the planned interventions through a final score which identifies the overall performance in terms of sustainability [19].

In the research carried out by the author six open-source NSA tools were identified and analysed, above all looking into the social dimension to understand what the new tool can learn from these. The most important results are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** NSA analysed tools and their main characteristics concerning the theme of social sustainability.

NSA tool	Main country, last version	Weighting of the indicators related to SS	Explicit social category
BREEAM Communities	UK, 2012	17,1%	Yes
EcoDistricts	USA, 2018	n.d.*	Yes
DGNB Districts	Germany, 2020	20%	Yes
Living Community Challenge	USA, 2017	40%**	Yes
GBC Quartieri	Italy, 2015	11%	No
ITACA Scala Urbana	Italy, 2016	21%**	No

\* For EcoDistricts it was possible only a partial analysis.

\*\* It is not the weighting based on SS indicators reachable points, but the number of indicators related directly or indirectly to social dimension on the total ones. In particular, LCC has no benchmarks.

From the analysis, it emerges that environmental aspects are generally more considered than economic and social ones.

In particular, many indicators contribute indirectly to SS achievement. Most of them are related to the “hard” components of the social dimension, like urban form or accessibility to public spaces. Therefore, it is possible to affirm that “spatial” criteria – as part of social ones – are more numerous than those concerning “soft” components (such as equity, participation, and so on).

Trying to evaluate SS in its whole complex could help municipalities and citizens to achieve the SDG n.11, and so inclusivity for all in urban areas. To do this, the research was based on a case study.

#### 4. The case of the Santa Marta neighbourhood

For about fifty years, Venice is experiencing two significant processes: depopulation and consequent shrinkage, and ageing population. Today there are just over 50,000 inhabitants (source: venessia.com) in the historic centre and about 30% of them are people over 65. The first phenomenon was also influenced by the so-called “touristification” that has negative consequences on the residential and transportation policies as well as on the presence of services and activities.

Few districts remain “authentic” in Venice, among them, there is that of Santa Marta, located in the Sestiere of Dorsoduro, south-west of the city, between the disused area of the former gasometer (north) and the port area (south) (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Identification of Santa Marta neighbourhood in southwest Venice. Elaboration from Google maps.

This neighbourhood has an old history, but what we see today is dated to the early XX century with the work by Istituto Autonomo Case Popolari (IACP).

The choice fell on Santa Marta because this neighbourhood has some specificities compared to the lagoon city: it is the only one directly connected to the mainland and still outside the tourist routes. Moreover, despite the theme of urban accessibility in Venice [20], the neighbourhood is accessible within the three bridges that “enclose” it and it is connected to the rest of the city by public transport (vaporetto).

Here older people have their habits, even if some aspects can be improved. For this reason, the author has conducted a multi-phase analysis to investigate the quality of outdoor spaces and the available activities to understand how it would be possible to improve the elderly’s well-being in this urban context. The analyses are preparatory to the development of the tool together with the previous study of existing instruments (both NSA tools and age-friendliness assessment ones).

##### 4.1. The multi-phase analysis

The analysis is made up of two non-simultaneous phases: a GIS mapping of neighbourhood outdoor spaces and a questionnaire submitted to Santa Marta residents over 65. This represents the preliminary steps preparatory to the drafting of the tool.

Thanks to the GIS mapping, it was possible to identify physical obstacles, presence and quantity of green spaces, seating, services and shops in the urban area (“hard” components of SS). At the same time, the questionnaire was used as a participatory tool to involve the elderly in this research, even if the outcomes were not a success due to



the pandemic limitations (2020-2021 were the years of the questionnaire dissemination). It was useful to understand even the “level” of social equity, cohesion, participation and so on (“soft” components of SS) in the neighbourhood.

Both the mapping and the questionnaire come out the lack of an adequate number of services. This factor adversely affects the elderly’s daily life since they need to move to other parts of the city to buy necessities (e.g.: here there is no pharmacy). Meanwhile, outdoor spaces are perceived as clean and safe, which is the reason why it is pleasant to live in these spaces for them.

#### 4.2. Towards a new tool

On the base of what was said about age-friendliness assessment and NSA tools and the findings that emerged from the multi-phase analysis, the author has delineated some characteristics that the new tool has to respect.

Specifically, it should have a simple but rigorous structure, in which each indicator has the same weight to avoid a subjectification of the evaluation. It will be called SMARTAGING, which is a portmanteau of the sentence “Santa Marta is aging”.

Thanks to the different analyses, three key areas that contribute to healthy and active ageing have been first identified. They concern the quality of public space, mobility and transport, services and community (Figure 3).

The first two areas tend to measure spatiality and physical aspects of the environment (excluding the ‘housing’ topic which is not a subject of the present study), instead, the last one aims to investigate the perceived sense of belonging and the existence of a support network for the elderly.

Each of them can be assessed based on specific criteria, which in turn consist of indicators, with a total number of 13 criteria and 40 indicators.



**Figure 3.** The three key areas proposed with their respective symbols (edited by the author).

The SMARTAGING protocol is actually under validation, and it will be tested by the author in Santa Marta and in other selected neighbourhoods to understand how it works and act for its eventual improvement.

## 5. Conclusion

Since urban space enables ageing in place and allows an active social life [21] analysis and actions at this scale are considered fundamental. In this regard, the use of an assessment tool can support administrations in understanding the ageing phenomenon in urban areas and direct them towards age-friendly actions. This paper provides only an overview of the methodological framework to build the new tool.

The SMARTAGING protocol should contribute in this sense by focusing on citizens and heading for good design choices that act in compliance with the principles of Universal Design. It aims to measure quantitatively the age-friendliness of a neighbourhood, understand the existing issues and then identify possible actions to be promoted in the three defined areas so that the neighbourhood can be overall more welcoming and safer for the elderly. To do this further work has to be done, testing the tool and involving administrations and citizens to underline its critical and potential.

## References

- [1] United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights, ST/ESA/SER.A/423. New York: United Nations; 2019.
- [2] United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. World Population Ageing 2019: Highlights, ST/ESA/SER.A/430. New York: United Nations; 2019.
- [3] United Nations. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, A/RES/70/1. [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2022 June 16]. Available from: [https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/generalassembly/docs/globalcompact/A\\_RES\\_70\\_1\\_E.pdf](https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/generalassembly/docs/globalcompact/A_RES_70_1_E.pdf)
- [4] World Health Organization. Global age-friendly cities: a guide, WT 31. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007.
- [5] World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe. Age-friendly environments in Europe. A handbook of domains for policy action. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2017.
- [6] Chao TYS. Planning for Greying Cities: Age-Friendly City Planning and Design Research and Practice. New York: Routledge; 2018.
- [7] Buffel T, Verté D, De Donder L, De Witte N, Dury S, Vanwing T, Bolsenbroek A. Theorising the relationship between older people and their immediate social living environment. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 2012; 31(1):13-32.
- [8] Buffel T, Phillipson C. Can global cities be 'age-friendly cities'? Urban development and ageing populations. *Cities*. 2016; 55, 94-100.
- [9] Caro FC, Fitzgerald KG. *International Perspectives on Age-Friendly Cities*. New York; London: Routledge; 2016.
- [10] Alley D, Liebig P, Pynoo J, Banerjee T, Choi IH. Creating Elder-Friendly Communities. Preparations for an Aging Society. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*. 2007; 49(1-2):1-18.
- [11] Carpentieri G, Gaglione F, Guida C, Sgambati S, Zucaro F. *Le ageing cities tra passato e futuro. Strategie, metodi e proposte per migliorare l'accessibilità degli anziani ai servizi urbani*. Napoli: FedOAPress; 2021.
- [12] World Health Organization. The global network for age-friendly cities and communities. Looking back over the last decade, looking forward to the next, WHO/FWC/ALC/18.4. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.
- [13] World Health Organization. Measuring the age-friendliness of cities. A guide to use core indicators, WA 380. Kobe: World Health Organization; 2015.
- [14] WHO World Health Organization. Checklist of Essential Features of Age-friendly Cities, WHO/FCH/ALC/2007.1. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007.
- [15] Dellamora MC, Zecevic A, Baxter D, Cramp A, Fitzsimmons D, Kloseck M. Review of assessment tools for baseline and follow-up measurement of age-friendliness. *Ageing International*, 2015; 40:149-164.
- [16] Sharifi A, Dawodu A, Cheshmehzangi A. Limitations in assessment methodologies of neighborhood sustainability assessment tools: A literature review. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 2021; 67.
- [17] Arengi A. Universal Design in Sustainable Urban Planning. In: Dall'O' G., editor. *Green Planning for Cities and Communities. Novel incisive approaches to sustainability*. Cham: Springer; 2020. p. 119-138.
- [18] Colantonio A. Social sustainability: a review and critique of traditional versus emerging themes and assessment methods. In: Horner M, Price A, Bebbington J, Emmanuel R, editors. *Sue-Mot Conference 2009. Second International Conference on Whole Life Urban Sustainability and Its Assessment: Conference Proceedings*; 2009, 865-885.
- [19] Boyle L, Michell K, Viruly F. A critique of the application of neighborhood sustainability assessment tools in urban regeneration. *Sustainability*. 2018; 10, 10041005.
- [20] Tatano V. *Atlante dell'accessibilità urbana a Venezia*. Conegliano: Anteferma; 2018.
- [21] Hammond M, Saunders N. *A Design for Life: Urban practices for an age-friendly city*. Manchester: Manchester Metropolitan University Press; 2021.