INCLUSIVE CITIES AND REGIONS
TERRITOIRES INCLUSIFS

14° Biennale of European Towns and Town Planners, Naples

Edited by
Marichela Sepe

#Parallel Workshop

INU Edizioni

Copia omaggio autori

Accademia
Collana diretta da
Francesco Domenico Moccia

Comitato scientifico:
Giuseppe De Luca, Università di Firenze
Paolo La Greca, Università di Catania
Brian Muller, University of Colorado Boulder
Marichela Sepe, Sapienza Università di Roma
Loris Servillo, Politecnico di Torino
Silvia Viviani, INU
Athena Yiannakou, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki
Yodan Rofe, Università Ben Gurion di Negev
Oriol Nel•lo, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona
Alessandro Sgobbo, Università Federico II

I volumi pubblicati in questa collana sono
preventivamente sottoposti ad una doppia procedura di 'peer review'

Progetto grafico
Valeria Coppola

Prodotto da
INU Edizioni Srl
Via Castro Dei Volsci 14
00179 Roma
Tel. 06 68134341 / 335-5487645
inued@inuedizioni.it
www.inuedizioni.com
Iscrizione CCIAA 81 4890/95
Iscrizione al Tribunale di Roma 3563/95

Copyright
INU Edizioni Srl
È possibile riprodurre testi o immagini con espressa citazione della fonte

Finito di stampare
Giugno 2024
Officine Grafiche Francesco Giannini e Figli SpA

In copertina
Hug. Napoli, San Francesco di Paola colonnades.
(source: Marichela Sepe' archive)

ISBN: 978-88-7603-254-7 (e-Book)

Copia omaggio autori

INCLUSIVE CITIES AND REGIONS
TERRITOIRES INCLUSIFS

14° Biennale of European Towns and Town Planners, Naples

Edited by
Marichela Sepe

#Parallel Workshop

INU Edizioni

Copia omaggio autori



Copia omaggio autori

INCLUSIVE CITIES AND REGIONS/TERRITOIRES INCLUSIFS
Edited by Marichela Sepe

Contents

Foreword

1 *Inclusive Places and People*

Introductions

3 The policies of inclusion and the advocacy of urban civilization
Michele Talia

5 Inclusion dilemmas
Francesco Domenico Moccia

7 From Migration and Inclusion to Inclusive cities and Regions
Giuseppe De Luca

Keynote speeches

9 Inclusivity in an adaptive planning system
Janet Askew

11 ECTP-CEU's vision on inclusive cities and regions – territoires inclusifs
Markus Hedorfer

13 Regulatory Inclusiveness and Territorial equipment
Carolina Giaimo

15 Regional strategies for territorial cohesion (OP 5. Europe closer to citizens)
Bruno Discepolo

18 Social housing and benefits in Austria: an approach in creating inclusive and just settlements
Gerhard Vittinghoff

19 HOUSING CRISIS – after 1980's settlements reconversion and 2024 simplification
João Teixeira

Copia omaggio autori

I PART - Parallel Workshops

21 1. Regional issues and regional disparity
81 2. Metropolitan or city proposals
115 3. Urban regeneration and Public Spaces
331 4. Migration and cultural inclusion
357 5. Cultural heritage
425 6. Resilience and adaptation
511 7. New economic approaches, such as the circular economy
525 8. IT and the use of artificial intelligence in planning
561 9. Ports, airports and other infrastructures
585 10. Underground space

II PART - Special Workshops

599 About Spatial Inclusivity. A perspective from URBinLAB, Lisbon
611 Enhancing urban regeneration and spatial justice with Nature-Based Solution
637 Inclusive public spaces for water cities facing climate change
661 “Italian UNESCO Chairs’ vision and actions
673 A Transdisciplinary Approach to Placemaking and Inclusivity: COST Action Dynamics of Placemaking
687 Inclusive city Ecosystems
699 Youthbanism: for a New Generation of Urbanistsz
705 Fragile geographies.
727 Green Oasis for the 15 minutes city model
747 Making/unmaking urban circular economies with ‘otherness’
761 Public space for inclusive cities: the Biennial of Public Space
779 Universal accessibility and university education, the knowledge network
791 Findings and Evidences from the PNRR project RETURN
807 River Contracts as voluntary and negotiated planning tools

Copia omaggio autori

I PART
Parallel Workshops
1. REGIONAL ISSUES AND REGIONAL DISPARITY

Coordinator: Laura Fregolent
Co-coordinator: Valeria Lingua and Donato Di Ludovico
Discussant: Géza Salamin
Co-discussant: Maurizio Carta

22 Population concentration.Quantitative characterization by concentration indexes
Ricardo Alvira

24 Weak Demand Areas in Italy. A mapping method according to the decree Transport Ministerial Decree n. 157/2018
Vito Martelliano, Carmelo Antonuccio

26 Basilicata Climate Adaptation Strategy: The Province of Potenza contribution to EU Mission on Adaptation to CC
Alessandro Attolico, Rosalia Smaldone, Francesco Scorza, Rachele Vanessa Gatto, Simone Corrado

28 Local Development in the Inner Areas. The Dominance of Tourism in SNAI Framework Programme Agreements
Francesco Conti

30 Cultural Ecosystem Services and Community Engagement. The experience of Madonie Inner Area
Annalisa Giampino, Filippo Schilleci, Stefania Crobe

32 From Patrick Geddes to the territorialist approach: the genealogy of inclusive planning
Federico Diodato

34 Collaborative maintenance of housing quality for social, cultural and economic innovation in marginalised areas
Katia Fabbricatti, Michela Di Palo, Eleonora Petroni

36 "Ecopolitan areas" as post-metropolitan model towards the ecological transition
Sandro Fabbro, Claudia Faraone

38 Early school leaving and family risk factor
Melania Verde, Grazia Falzarano

40 Territorial regeneration in non-metropolitan urban regions: a proposal for definition, strategies and tools of interventions
Giulia Fini, Marcello Modica

42 Regeneration of fragile territories through the reactivation of local communities: a review of Italian best practices
Valeria Lingua, Valeria Francioli

44 New actors and infrastructures for the Economic & Spatial inclusion and innovation within the European Research Area. A mapping in Italy and in Veneto Region
Vito Garramone, Carlo Federico Dall'Omo, Francesco Musco

46 Exploring Tourism Ecosystem in planning practice
Rachele Vanessa Gatto, Simone Corrado, Giovanna Andrulli, Carmen Ligrani, Maria Teresa Ferrarese, Teresa Palladino, Francesca Perrone, Francesco Scorza

48 Inter-municipal plan for an inner area. An experiment for fourteen municipalities in Garfagnana, Tuscany
Benedetta Biaggini, Giannino Biaggini, Riccardo Luca Breschi, Andrea Giraldi

50 Insular regions and MaaS concept. Assessing spatial accessibility in Sardinia (Italy)
Mara Ladu, Ginevra Balletto, Tanja Congiu, Gianfranco Fancello

52 Observing the youth housing crisis
Mariella Annese, Maria Raffaella Lamacchia, Nicola Martinelli

54 The Territorial Plan (PTAV) of Forlì-Cesena. A navigation map to generate system policies
Martina Massari, Valentina Orioli, Altea Panebianco

56 Fight regional disparities by regulating the practice of collaborative housing. An approach to counteract speculative dynamics in the territories
Margherita Meta

Copia omaggio autori

58 Settlement sustainability in less developed regions, a priority for regional balanced development.In providing a RBM framework for evaluating spatial plans
Samaneh Niazkhani, Esfandiar Zebardast, Angela Million

60 Regional regeneration in disaster-affected areas
Giulia Pasetti, Donato Di Ludovico

62 Soil sealing as ecosystem services' inhibitor. Processing of satellite data for coastal zone analysis
Annunziata Palermo, Lucia Chieffallo, Natalia Rispoli

64 A gender issue in the urban policy
Lucia Saganeiti, Lorena Fiorini

66 Flexible geography or instability? Changing spaces in Hungarian spatial planning
Géza Salamin, Márton Péti

68 Building alliances for territorial rebalancing processes. A case study in Val d'Agri
Stefania Oppido, Valeria Catanese, Giuseppe Pace, Maria Scalisi, Gabriella Esposito

70 Basilicata Climate Adaptation Strategy: A GEODESIGN Experimental Approach
Francesco Scorza, Rachele Vanessa Gatto, Simone Corrado

72 Macro-territorial disparities analysis with a data-driven approach
Alessandro Seravalli, Davide Magurno, Mirko Gregorelli

74 Regional foresight for an inclusive local decision making
Rosaria Trovato

76 "España puede" and "Italia domani" against social fragmentation. Territorial planning approach's similarities and differences
Annunziata Palermo, Maria Columna Gracia Gómez, Gaetano Tucci, Lucia Chieffallo

78 Exploring innovative strategies and approaches arising from EU funds, mechanisms and tools to counter regional and local disparities
Rodrigo Vielmo Moura

Parallel Workshops

2. METROPOLITAN OR CITY PROPOSALS

Coordinator: Martin Reents
Co-coordinator: Gianfranco Fiora Fiora, Carlo Gerundo
Discussant: Kent Håkull
Co-Discussant: Douglas Gordon

82 Planning an eco-neighbourhood in Attica
Despina Amolohiti, Dimelli Despina

84 Urban study of the Central Axis of Petržalka
authors: Bohumil Kováč, Karol Görner, Lucia Štefancová, Michal Czafík collaboration: L. Benček, Z. Kováč, Š. Hromada

86 Territorial analysis tools for the construction of the Strategic Plan for Sustainable Development of Sappada - IT
Alessandro Massarente, Alessandro Tessari, Karla Cavallari

88 Identifying and mapping proximity of services for students: a case study in the university city of Bologna
Silvia Bartolucci, Elisa Conticelli, Angela Santangelo

90 The Search for planning a Global South metropolis: The Case of the 2014 São Paulo Master Plan, Brazil
Eduardo Alberto Cuscé Nobre

92 Debt as Spatial Practice
Antonio di Campli

94 LABMET - Metropolitan Innovation Laboratory of the Metropolitan City of Cagliari
Nicolò Fenu, Isabella Ligia, Paolo Mereu

96 Urban and peri-urban agriculture (UPA): from benefits to planning. Results from EFUA H2020 project
Claudia Cassatella, Enrico Gottero

98 APRIPISTA: Sustainable Urban Mobility Plan of Cagnano
Maria Somma, Andrea Graziano, Danny van Beusekom, Luca Zampieron, Teresa Abagnale, Aniello D'Auria

Copia omaggio autori

100 Integrating Mobility Infrastructure, a European Topic. URBACT RiConnect network. Rethinking infrastructure for better metropolises
Joan Caba, Anna Majoral, Judith Recio and Mikel Berra, Noemí Martínez, Stela Salinas, Elena Argelich, Roland Krebs, Rosa Rull

102 Territorial approach to river restoration: an investigation into multi-governance
Chiara Marasà

104 City and health through improved school routes
Carmen Mota, Susana Aznar

106 ITI/SUD tools and inclusivity. Urban regeneration in the Municipality of Piraeus
Emmanouela Tsagaki-Rekleitou

108 Urban air mobility. From sectoral infrastructure to an integrated vision towards improved inclusion
Carlo Valorani, Maria Elisabetta Cattaruzza

110 Right to the city through urban entropy and enthalpy. Life and death of the city
Fernando Visedo Manzanares

112 The transformation of the coal and steel region
Ondrej Vyslouzil, Pavel Rihak, Vaclav Palicka

114 Wheelchair sharing system – a place for people with difficulties walking in Portugal's mobility public policies
Joana Coimbra, Paulo Silva

Parallel Workshops

3. URBAN REGENERATION AND PUBLIC SPACES

Coordinator: Marichela Sepe

116 Inclusive governance for water areas and coastal landscapes. Participatory planning in the Phlegraean Fields"
Antonio Acierno, Alessandra Pagliano, Ivan Pistone

118 Protected Areas and urban regeneration. An ÉcoQuartier in the Calanques National Park's Adhesion Area
Laura Ricci, Alessandra Addessi

120 Territories of reconstruction. New paradigms for the regeneration in Central Italy 2016
Francesco Alberti

122 Campo Laudato si' Caserta. Masterplan for the restoration and urban regeneration of the former Macrico area
Alvisi Kirimoto

124 Urban exploration as a co-narration practice: the case of "Per Luoghi Comuni: Ex-Qualcosa" in San Giovanni a Teduccio, East Naples
Giorgia Arillotta, Sabrina Sacco, Piero Zizzania

126 Green and Blue Infrastructure and Urban Planning
Alessandra Barresi

128 Mobility for Urban Public Space. A study case between Naples and Barcelona
Adriana Bernieri

130 Performing collective action for cultural urban regeneration projects. Early notes from a Barad and Schechner diffractive analysis
Vittoria Biasiucci, Maria Patrizia Vittoria

132 Urban Regeneration and the Role of the Private Sector
Sveva Bocchini

134 Designing with time. Chrono-urbanism and some of its declinations
Chiara Bocchino

136 ROME: Capital of the CIPS
Francesco Bonanni

138 Development games in the neglected urban areas
Aleksandra Jadach-Sepiolo, Maciej Borsa

Copia omaggio autori

140 Urban regeneration and public space between the gaps of the dense city
Francesca Calace, Stefania Assenti

142 Reclaiming Public space. The role of Cultural Heritage sites in Urban transformation
Greta Caliendo

144 (how to) Draw a process. An Architectural storytelling of a social innovation case
Arianna Camellato

146 "From the edge to the castle: sustainable mobility and connections of the third millennium" Municipality of Falconara Marittima - Ancona - Italy. The winning project of the two-stage design competition and drafting of the technical and economic feasibility study
Massimo Canesin, Omero Bassotti

148 EMPaThY Spaces: creative ecosystem for a transfeminist city
Francesca Carion, Gaia Del Giudice, Marta Moracci, Federica Morra, Maria Scalisi, Livia Russo, Stefania Oppido, Stefania Ragozino, Gabriella Esposito de Vita

150 IN.KIOSTRO. A Community Hub in the former St. Michael's Cloister in Anacapri
Maria Cerreta, Laura Di Tommaso

152 The "Villa del Sole" project. A green area without greenery anymore...
Teresa Cilona

154 Tricase Porto | Hub of sea and land
F.Cofano, A. Montinaro, L. Beccarisi, S. Giammaruco, G.Coppola, P. Portaluri, V. Calabrese, C. Carluccio, A. Giammaruco, L. Sodano, A. De Nitto, F. Tinella,, P. Durante, Sara Sicuro

156 Sport as a tool for urban and social regeneration.The story of the project: CivitaSnova
Marta Cognigni, Davide Allegri

158 Towards a city of good dwelling
Paolo Colarossi, Francesco Colarossi, Sharon Anna Somma

160 Inclusive Public City pilot project. Co-generative experimentations for design innovation
Angela Colucci, Anna Schellino, Antonella Cuppari

162 Integrated design for urban and public space regeneration in the territories of Southern Italy
Chiara Corazziere, Vincenzo Gioffrè

164 Urban public space at multiple risk.The Neapolitan case study of Via Caracciolo
Candida Cuturi, Marichela Sepe

166 Urban production processes and the role of public space as a connective tissue: the case of Brandoa and Alfornelos, Lisbon
Maria Manuela da Fonte, Filipa Serpa, Ariana Marques

168 Exploring the role of inclusion in railway hubs: a literature review over the last decade.
Ludovica Dangelo, Marika Fior

170 The Playgrounds and the City. Towards the Reconstruction of Urban Life
Felice De Silva, Manuela Antoniciello

172 Environmental Recovery and Urban Regeneration of the areas of significant national interest at the time of the PNRR. The commissioning of the Bagnoli-Coroglio area
Viviana Di Capua

174 Designing cities for seniors: a vision of inclusivity. A Case Study in Vizille, France
Cecilia Di Marco

176 Mouro's Fountain square renewal. A nature-based solution public space
María Fandiño Iglesias

178 'FUTURA'. A Strategic Masterplan for Salsomaggiore Terme
Emilio Faroldi, Maria Pilar Vettori

Copia omaggio autori

180 Urban Regeneration in Italy: Unraveling the Legal Patchwork – Navigating Diverse Laws and Shaping a Unified Future
Annamaria Felli, Francesco Zullo, Chiara Cattani, Cristina Montaldi, Emilio Marziali, Gianni Di Pietro

182 An Ecosystem-Service based approach for Spatial Planning: Strategies for the city of Varese
Federico Ghirardelli, Silvia Ronchi, Stefano Salata, Beatrice Mosso, Andrea Arcidiacono, Laura Pogliani

184 Designing Inclusion. Redefining paradigms, categories, and methods
Santiago Gomes, Javier Fernández Castro

186 How much public space has my city got to offer?
Luciano G. Alfaya, Patricia Muniz-Nunez

188 Regenerate collective spaces in social housing. A research and a case study in Metropolitan Area of Naples
Claudia De Biase, Giuseppe Guida, Chiara Bocchino, Antonetta Napolitano

190 Future-proof community centres and its transformative role in large housing estates
Thomas Helfen

192 Large Mine Sites Regeneration from the Perspective of Gender Health Perception. A case study of Xishan, Taiyuan, Shanxi Province, China
Zhizhong Hong, Ester Higueras García

194 Improving the Livability of Contemporary Cities.The Role of Sports Infrastructure in Urban Regeneration
Ilva Hoxhaj

196 Regeneration of disused areas: towards a new generation
Rosaria Iodice

198 Development games in the neglected urban areas
Aleksandra Jadach-Sepiolo, Maciej Borsa

200 Regeneration of Abandoned Transportation Landscapes within GI Framework for Sustainable, Inclusive and Resilient European Cities
Bilge Kobak

202 Gewerbehöfe, an enduring legacy in Berlin.Unveiling the GoWest project
Christoph Kohl

204 Delivering inclusivity and climate justice in our cities. TEK-Technology Entertainment and Knowledge District in Bologna
Stefania Anghinelli, Serena Girani, Chiara Introzzi, Sara Lodrini

206 Urban Regeneration in the Contemporary Compact City
Enrico Losardo, Irene Poli

208 From gray to green infrastructure. Rethinking the Lecco's waterfront
Nerantzia Tzortzi, Maria Stella Lux, Aurora Bosia

210 Urban walks as a cognitive tool at the service of urban plans and for the promotion of sustainability in Catania
Gaetano Giovanni Daniele Manuele

212 Religious Archaeology. New opportunities for urban attractiveness
Andrea Marcuccetti

214 The railway line as territorial activation tool
Immacolata Paciolla, Amelia Laura Maris Ile, Ivan Negri, Danila Della Monica, Gianfranca Mastroianni

216 "Il Borgo di Francesco", a biophilic design case study
Guglielmo Minervino, Antonio Caperna, Stefano Serafini, Bonaventura Poppiti

218 Enhancement of urban green, the establishment of urban gardens and the recovery of abandoned land
Giovanni Misasi, Teresa Pandolfi, Domenico Passarelli

220 Post-socialist New Belgrade blocks as a potential for inclusive territory
Nikola Mitrović

222 Experimental governance and urban fragilities: Making cities through social-health therapies
Walter Molinaro

Copia omaggio autori

224 Does the design of public spaces foster spatial inclusion? A survey on six roman squares
Francesca Paola Mondelli

226 Art and culture to rediscover the city's spaces, between identity and memory.Outside the school, to re-appropriate the territory by exploring the city through its monuments and squares
Letizia Montalbano, Graziella Zizzo

228 Territorial Regeneration of Mondeggi country estate. A project of inclusivity and regeneration
Laura Nanni

230 Territorial sustainable regeneration: a case study
Claudia De Biase, Giada Limongi, Antonetta Napolitano

232 PINQuA | RInaTA Paolo VI - Integrated Proposal for the regeneration and naturalization of the Paolo VI suburb of Taranto. The renovation and re-naturalization of Piazza della Liberazione
Simona Sasso, Francesca E. Nesca

234 My Neighbourhood, My Street. Promoting active participation of citizens in the management of public spaces in Vila Nova de Gaia
Cristiana Nóbrega, Margarida Rocha, Catarina Pydzinska Azevedo

236 The role of public space in the relationship between museum and contemporary city: the case of Barcelona
Manuel Orabona

238 Exploring the potential of the 15-minute city model in the eastern neighbourhoods of Naples
Maria Federica Palestino, Stefano Cuntò

240 Co-design public spaces of proximity The case of Rome's former fair
Livia Papagni, Leonardo Perna, Manuele Sun, Elena Tablò

242 Towards a child-friendly city barriers to urban independence for children aged 7-10
Beata Patuszyńska

244 Design for inclusivity. Guidelines for inclusive public space design
Luca Vandini, Annalaura Ciampi, Monica Malori, Enrica Perotti

246 Reclaim and Exploring New Energy Scenarios for Eastern European Landscapes
Nicola Petaccia

248 Integrating expertise in the circular urban project
Marina Rigillo, Libera Amenta, Anna Attademo, Sara Piccirillo, Rosaria Iodice, Federica Paragliola

250 Completion and upgrade of the market and office complex within the framework of the Coordinated Plan for the improvement of the Tamburi district in the city of Taranto
Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Mariana Recchia

252 Sustainable regeneration of public spaces for social inclusion in the western part of Santa Maria Capua Vetere
Antonio Acierno, Ivan Pistone

254 Urban Planning and Design Journals in Europe. A new season?
Marco Mareggi, Laura Pogliani

256 Inclusivity and public lighting of public spaces at night
Antonella Radicchi, Dietrich Henckel

258 Integrating Equitable Climate Adaptation into Green Urban Regeneration. Infrastructural spaces as Inclusive and Nature-based Commons
Alessandro Raffa, Annalisa Percoco

260 Redevelopment and upgrade of existing sports facilities within the framework of the Coordinated Plan for the improvement of the Tamburi district
Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Mariana Recchia

262 Urban co-existence. Luigi Cosenza's ex Regional Milk Factory and Cupa Perillo's communities
Lorenzo Renzullo

264 The street: walking and staying
Leonardo Rignanese

266 Stories of proximity and collective spaces. Design History and urban regeneration of the 'Torrevecchia' neighbourhood in Rome
Flavio Graviglia, Aurora Riviezzo

Copia omaggio autori

268 Environment, landscape, territory and community. Community Projects and River Contracts: taking care of the commons
Paola Rizzuto, Ferdinando Verardi, Antonio Leone, Domenico Passarelli

270 The radical inclusivity of the pattern language approach
Yodan Rofé

272 Making Place. Exploring potentials and limitations of temporary urbanism in Hong Kong
Francesco Rossini

274 Living in the City in the Third Age
Maria Argenti, Anna Bruna Menghini, Francesca Sarno

276 STEP UP - Walkability for Women in Milan. A Data-driven Approach to Assess the Level of Walkability for Women
Rawad Choubassi, Lamia Abdelfattah, Andrea Gorrini, Federico Messa, Lily Scarponi, Catalina Valenzuela, Gerardo Carpentieri, Carmen Guida, Valerio Martinelli, Tonia Stiuso, Floriana Zucaro, Florencia Natalia Andreola, Laura Da Re, Azzurra Muzzonigro, Carlos Cañas, Eleonora Gargiulo, Jim Walker

278 Associations and Community Planning Processes: a case study
Alfredo Pensabene, Marco Picone, Valeria Scavone

280 PINQuA: integrated actions to increase spatial and social cohesion in Taranto's Paolo VI neighbourhood
Simona Sasso, Federica Mitrangolo, Antonio Angelini, Alessandro Sangermano, Angelo Semidai, Francesca Nesca

282 The DE-Sign Urban Lab. The pilot case of the City of Cosenza and energy efficiency as a driver for social inclusion, resilience and integrated urban regeneration
Domenico Passarelli, Ferdinando Verardi, Ilaria Bertini, Ilaria Sergi, Maria Anna Segreto, Guido Maurizio Urbani

284 Urban regeneration and inclusive public spaces. A project for the new urban park in San Lorenzo Village in L'Aquila
Camilla Sette

286 Controversial multi-actor urban regeneration practices: The case of the historic centre of Palermo
Vincenzo Todaro, Salvatore Siringo

288 GREENerize Post Industrial Landscape. From the industrial city to the urban ecosystem
Luisa Smeragliuolo Perrotta, Nahuel Garcia Pastor

290 (In)effectiveness of planning: which perspective for Pietralata?
Sharon Anna Somma, Romina D'Ascanio, Ana Luiza Milanese, Anna Laura Palazzo

292 The project of a pedestrian network for a city on a human scale. Reggio Calabria no longer unsustainable
Domenico Passarelli, Francesco Suraci, Antonio Macchione

294 Revitalizing urban spaces. A synergistic approach between school spaces and the city
Nicola Martinelli, Roberta Tenerelli

296 A green line for the FS Torre Annunziata – Cancello. Regeneration of the territory through a linear park
Anna Terracciano

298 Slow Urban Planning. Improvising a performative urbanism or a social reanimation of the abandoned city of Tribsees!
Ton Matton

300 The Convivialità Urbana Award
Grazia Torre, Chiara Franchetti

302 City space and children's skills. New uses of urban space in the Noce neighborhood of Palermo
Ferdinando Trapani

304 Student Housing as a driver of Urban Regeneration. The case of Libertà district in Bari
Giovanna Mangialardi, Nicola Martinelli, Angelica Triggiano

306 How will we live together?
Davide Tumiati

308 An inclusive and breathing intergenerational city. New green spaces on the underground area of the Ferrovie Nord in Busto Arsizio
Michele Ugolini, Caterina Gallizioli, Beatrice Basile, Francesca Ripamonti, Francesco Occhiuto, Maria Maddalena Reggio, Amanzio Farris

Copia omaggio autori

310 Urban regeneration as a paradigm of public city project: experimentation of an intervention model
Marilù Vaccaro

312 ParticipatiOn Wheels. A playful tool for urban participatory planning
Eirini Vallindra, Georgia Manousogiannaki

314 Social Return on Investment (SROI) applications on urban planning for conscious decision-making.
The VARCITIES project approach
Elisa-Elena Vasiliu, Sara Biancifiori, Adriano Bisello

316 The regeneration of semi-confined public spaces in disadvantaged contexts for social inclusion and the well-being of children
Anna Rita Villano, Donatella Diano, Katia Fabbricatti

318 How to do urban regeneration with certification protocols for a more inclusive sustainable urban district
Marco Volpatti, Elena Mazzola, Marta Carla Bottero, Adriano Bisello

320 Multiple benefits analysis to support urban regeneration.
A practical tool to support project implementation and acceptance
Irene Bertolami, Marco Volpatti, Adriano Bisello

322 Quarter at the former Flower Market Berlin
Ulrike Böhm, Katja Benfer, Cyrus Zahiri

324 Shaping the inclusive participatory processes for urban transformation. Grunwaldzka Avenue Development Study, Gdańsk, Poland
Piotr Lorens

326 ESG principles and urban regeneration. A framework for sustainable cities
Antonio Bocca, Lorenzo Massimiano

327 Innovative practices for sustainable development of resistant communities
Emanuela Coppola, Gaia Del Giudice, Eleonora Giovene di Girasole

328 Social enterprises as activators of innovation processes.
The case of Liberitutti in Barriera di Milano, Torino
Daniele Caccherano, Erika Mattarella, Elena Camilla Pede, Flaviano Zandonai

329 The Participatory GIS as a tool to support decision-making processes
Simona Stella, Massimo Clemente

Parallel Workshops

4. MIGRATION AND CULTURAL INCLUSION

Coordinator: Angela Barbanente
Co-cordinator: Gilda Berruti
Discussant: Marçel Pidalà

332 A realistic geography of the presence of migrants in the city of Naples: shop owners and street vendors
Antonia Arena

334 Cidade de acolhimento_host city
Ana Bordalo, José Carvalho, Vitor Alves

336 The gender gap in participatory processes: exploring the River Agreement as a tool for investigation
Elisa Caruso

338 The cross-border urban space. Urban forms and territorial identities in European cross-border cities
Fabiola Cristalli

340 From formal to informal in the border city. Miserabilia.
The tax-selective formalism crumbles
Silvia Dalzero

342 Designing the city of poor
Andrea Di Giovanni

344 Neo-Population: A Potential Remedy for the Depopulation Crisis in Inner Regions
Diksha Dody

Copia omaggio autori

346 Intersecting Rights: Immigrants in Urban Realities
Maryam Karimi, Grazia Concilio, Aliaksandra Rameika, Giorgia Trasciani

348 Migration, Social Transformations and Impact on Urban Attractiveness: Riace as a Model of Inclusive Urban Planning
Silvia Paldino, Ferdinando Verardi

350 Mental Mapping for New Urban Perspectives
Sanja Platisa

352 The inclusion of migrants through gastronomic and cultural markets
Manuel Sanches

354 Embracing social and cultural Diversity in Eleusis: Exploring Possible Composite Tourism experiences After the ECOC 2021 title
Panagiota Tsolakaki, Sofia Avgerinou Kolonias

356 Scenario's planning participative workshop as tools for inclusive policies for inhabitants and citizens
Andrea Marçel Pidalà

Parallel Workshops

5. CULTURAL HERITAGE

Coordinator: Maria Somma
Co-cordinator: Carlo Gerundo
Discussant: Martin Baloga

358 PINQuA: the conversion of an abandoned Palazzo into student housing to regenerate a portion of Taranto's Città Vecchia
Simona Sasso, Giovanni Carbotti, Antonio Angelini

360 Historic Urban Landscape and Cultural Diversities
Mariarosaria Angrisano, Ferdinando Verardi

362 Restoration and enhancement of the Historic Gardens of the Monumental Complex of the ex-Monastery of Saints Severino and Sossio in Naples
Alessandro Seravalli, Paola Caselli

364 Assessing the reuse compatibility of cultural heritage for the built environment regeneration
Francesca Ciampa, Stefania De Medici

366 Unveiling Urban Narratives.The "Pop Up City" project in the city of Formia, Italy
Diana Ciufo

368 Visual Sensitivity: towards more culturally-inclusive planning approaches
Jessica Comino

370 BNB-COMMON. "Bnbificazione" of cities
Silvia Dalzero

372 The Future of Post Extractive Mining Ruins
René Davids

374 Forms and signs of the city drawing (aesthetic lexie)
Andrea Donelli

376 Approaching "Athenian Modernism" with sensitivity in the linear park of Fokionos Negri Street
Georgina Eleftheraki

378 Cultural accessibility to overcome physical, cognitive and sensorial barriers
Maurizio Francesco Errigo, Irene Poli

380 Coastal heritage for adaptive and inclusive strategies. The case of the Trabocchi Coast along the mid-Adriatic
Lia Fedele, Angelica Nanni

382 Effects of religious practices on the urban planning through time on the case of Rome and Christianity
Daria Fontana

384 Participatory incubator of cultural initiatives within a local development strategy for the "Sicani" area in Sicily
Alejandro Gana-Núñez, Vincenzo Todaro

Copia omaggio autori

386 Mapping the Intangible: an interdisciplinary exploration of transhumance cultural landscape
Ilaria Gesualdi, Mariacristina Mona

388 Local development and the regeneration of the historic architectural heritage:case studies of historic buildings in Taranto
Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Cristina Giannico

390 Visualizing Cities: Making Cultural Heritage Inclusive
Kristin Love Huffman, Andrea Giordano

392 Resilience as a cultural heritage value. Planning scenarios for the Libarna archaeological site
Michela Benente, Ombretta Caldarice, Benedetta Giudice, Irene Ruiz Bazán

394 The Historic Town as vector of the urban development
Sofia Avgerinou Kolonias, Alvaro Gómez-Ferrer Bayo

396 Recreating agricultural production to restore a heritage landscape. The Reine's Vineyard WHS (Turin, Italy)
Claudia Cassatella, Enrico Gottero

398 Historic infrastructure and urban regeneration. Bottom-up approach for an identity-inclusive city
Paola N. Imbesi

400 Food Plants Consumed and Grown in Salvador, Brazil
Isabel Maria Madaleno

402 Tecniche Sapienti Suite. Mapping the legacy of Italian women designers
Claudia Mattogno

404 BARIUM. A new model of knowledge and preservation of the city
Nicola Cavallera, Dario Monsellato, Nicolò Montuori, Francesco Paolo Protomastro

406 Landscape design as an extension of welfare
Marco Patruno

408 Cultural heritage as an interpretative framework to address new global challenges
Thomas Pepino

410 Cultural Heritage and UN Agenda 2030 Territorialization through Innovative Inclusive Tourism Strategies
Gabriella Pultrone

412 The Cultural Heritage of Cordoba and Naples boost Climate and Social sustainability actions
Rosa Romano, Marika Fior, Gaia Redaelli

414 Cultural heritage as a tool of resilience and adaptation in minor historic centers planning strategies
Fiammetta Fanizza, Francesco Rotondo

416 Sicani: where marginality meets cultural heritage through the place-based approach
Desiree Saladino

418 Policy and regeneration of Italian historic villages
Camilla Salve, Alessandra Tosone, Donato di Ludovico

420 The new archaeological park of Literum as a booster for urban regeneration
Francesco Stefano Sammarco, Anna Terracciano

422 From the International Documents for the Protection of Cultural Heritage to the project lines of Integrated Restoration of historical settlements
Caterina Sergi

Parallel Workshops

6. RESILIENCE AND ADAPTATION

Coordinator: Claudia Cassatella
Co-cordinator: Emanuela Coppola
Discussant: Domenico Passarelli
Co-duscussant: Massimo Sargolini

426 Magna Græcia 2049. An example of Ecological Transition process to build a spatial, social and economic resilient inclusion
William Marco Aiello

428 #Manilva Plan n'2030 towards a Local Urban Agenda
n'UNDO.org

Copia omaggio autori

430 A new methodology for multi-sector and harmonized urban and energy planning in small and medium-sized cities
Alice Borsari, Adriano Bisello

432 Climate Justice and Spatial Planning
Richard Blyth

434 A contribution to assess urban open spaces under the point of view of urban heat islands
Marzia Lai, Alessandra Casu

436 Urban strategic projects towards resilient climateproof towns: some proposals for Lisbon waterfront
Alessandra Casu, Guglielmo Ricciardi, Ilenia Zaccagna

438 Increasing climate resilience through participatory adaptive planning
Lucia Chieffallo, Annunziata Palermo

440 Aniene. The other river. From climate adaptation actions to urban regeneration: the making of an Environmental Centrality
Laura Di Fiandra, Marika Fior, Paolo Galuzzi

442 Boosting the local energy transition.
The Living Lab of León (Spain)
Alejandra Duarte Montes, Miguel Fernández-Maroto, Juan Luis de las Rivas

444 A simulation-based tool for environmentally hazard-conscious urban design
Federico Eugeni, Gennaro Zanfardino, Donato Di Ludovico

446 A new terraced waterfront on the Mar Piccolo within the framework of the Coordinated Plan for the improvement of the Tamburi district in the city of Taranto
Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Valentina Falcone

448 Regeneration and redesign of public spaces within the framework of the Coordinated Plan for the improvement of the Tamburi district in the city of Taranto
Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Valentina Falcone

450 Regi Lagni and hydraulic mitigation works. Adaptation and new uses in the regeneration project for the S. Sossio basin in Marigliano (NA)
Anna Terracciano, Giovanna Ferramosca

452 From the "Intelligent Buildings" program to the new "Intelligent Cities" urban design methodology
G. Tiziana Gallo

454 Evaluating Urban Walkability. A Thermal Comfort Based Approach
Alessandro Pedrazzoli, Simone Grasso, Giulia Ceccarelli, Marianna Zuretti, Dante Presicce, Rawad Choubassi

456 Digital Seascapes: Can we use digital technologies for engaging coastal communities in building marine citizenship?
Ashita Gupta, Katharine Willis

458 Integrating Human-Centric Care and Accessibility: Designing Inclusive Residential Spaces for Special Children in Economically Underdeveloped Regions of China
Zhouyan He

460 Sustainable reconstruction of the Ahr valley in Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany
Svenja Knuffke, Lutz Kraemer-Heid

462 Mapping deficit areas for adaptation planning. Insights from flood protection ecosystem service in an Italian case study
Alessandra Longo, Linda Zardo, Davide Longato

464 Policies, Strategies, and Projects for Sustainable Urban Regeneration. A comparative analysis of France and Germany
Laura Ricci, Carmela Mariano, Marsia Marino

466 A participatory approach to increase urban resilience while addressing seismic vulnerability in six Adriatic-Ionian countries
Giulia Marzani, Angela Santangelo, Simona Tondelli

468 Renewable Energy Communities: a strategy for energy transition. The Municipality of Rome's experience
Fabiana Cambiaso, Giuseppe Milano

Copia omaggio autori

470 Taranto Green Belt: building a resilient city through the re-naturalization of public areas and the enhancement of local environmental assets
Simona Sasso, Federica Mitrangolo, Alessandro Sangermano, Simone Marchesi

472 The Barrow Blueway. A Spatial Plan for Sustainable Tourism Development
Helen Mulhall

474 The evolving spatial practices, in physical and virtual public spaces, among Arab Druze women in Israel: increased risk and reflexivity amidst the COVID-19 crisis
Sally Nabwani Kawass, Efrat Eizenberg, Yosef Jabareen

476 Land use transformations and the new ecological values: from conflict to innovation
Olga Giovanna Paparusso

478 Heat waves and Urban Adaptation: the potential of public amenities as climate shelters
Elena Camilla Pede, Luca Staricco

480 Data-driven planning research methodologies to guide the trajectories of urban and territorial transitions, fostering heightened resilience and sustainability
Francesca Moraci, Carmelina Bevilacqua, Pasquale Pizzimenti

482 The Community Engaged-Research for the Transitions to Inclusive and Just Cities. Reflections from an experience in Canada
Elisa Privitera

484 The scales of territory
*reversAs**

486 The new Urban Forest in Tamburi: a Phytoremediation project at the core of Coordinated Plan for the regeneration of the district
Simona Sasso, Mariana Recchia

488 Enhancing Climate Resilience. The Metropolitan Perspective in Italian spatial planning system
Vittoria Ridolfi, Filippo Magni

490 Voluntary planning as a key approach for climate responsive measures
Luigi Santopietro, Filomena Pietrapertosa, Monica Salvia, Francesco Scorza

492 Resilience processes in mountain areas: the innovative experience in the Ligurian Alps
Federica Corrado, Gemma Santoro

494 Restoration of the Aragonian walls and Seafront promenade:projects between past and future in Taranto
Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Cristina Giannico

496 Identification of suitable sites for Green Hydrogen Production from petroleum wastewater
Rossella Scorzelli, Shiva Rahmani, Michela Delfino, Francesco Scorza, Beniamino Murgante

498 Risk-oriented knowledge of urban settlements towards climate adaptation
Antonio Sferratore, Sara Verde, Maria Fabrizia Clemente, Mario Losasso

500 Overcoming contamination in urban context. Phytoremediation in the Metropolitan area of Milan
Maria Chiara Pastore, Claudia Parenti, Lucia Ludovici, Laura Sibani

502 Urban networks and environmental resilience. The role of fractal urban aggregate
Ferdinando Verardi

504 Beyond the conflict. Planning a logistics area with an OOO approach
Mattia Bertin, Eugenia Vincenti

506 Urban Climate Change. Mitigation, Adaptation, and Disaster Risk Reduction – a Review on their joint use for Spatial Resilience
Silvio Cristiano, Carlo Pisano

Copia omaggio autori

507 Human approach for the third millennium
Maria Lodovica Delendi

508 Urban forestry project in L'Aquila: urban regeneration and green reconnection
Chiara Di Dato

509 Supporting Critical Entities Resilience to natural risks through urban planning
Veronica Gazzola

Parallel Workshops
7. NEW ECONOMIC APPROACHES, SUCH AS THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY

Coordinator: Luc-Émile Bouche-Florin
Co-Coordinator: Alessandro Sgobbo
Discussant: Vít Řezáč

512 PROPELing a Social Cooperative into a Positive Energy District
Nicolas Caballero, Irene Bertolami, Elisa Vasiliu, Giovanni Dalle Nogare, Adriano Bisello

514 Exploring transition to Circular Economy: a collaborative design approach for innovative urban hubs for waste management
Chiara Castellano Federica Paragliola, Maria Fabrizia Clemente, Ermina Attaianese, Marina Rigillo

516 Circular Economy strategies for Adaptive Reuse of Borgo San Leonardo in Carlentini
Carla Di Giorgio, Maria Rita Pinto, Pasquale De Toro

518 Inclusive approaches for circular soil management and territorial restoration in marginalized areas
Elena Ferraioli

520 Governance and management of European rural development policies: new perspectives for the circular economy in Sardinia
Anna Maria Colavitti, Virginia Onnis and Sergio Serra

522 Sustainable Urban Energy Communities. A model for eco-social regeneration of Mediterranean metropolis
Alessandro Sgobbo

Parallel Workshops
8. IT AND THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN PLANNING

Coordinator: Adriano Bisello
Co-coordinator: Michele Grimaldi
Discussant: José María Ezquiaga

526 Generative Urban Design (GUD): Towards the Post-Urban Project
Fabio Andreassi, Fabio Naselli, Cinzia Barbara Bellone

528 Inclusiveness and participation: artificial intelligence as a planning tool
Monica Buonocore

530 App for an inclusive P.E.B.A. Crowdmapping architectural barriers with an IT tool
Francesco Carbone, Maria Venditti

532 Community validation in generative-AI mapping. The pilot project fAIr by OSM and Microsoft in Uganda, Tanzania, Kenya and Nigeria
Chiara Centanaro, Emanuele Sommariva

534 Beyond Boundaries: GeoAI for Informed Urban and Territorial Decision-Making
Simone Corrado, Francesco Scorza

536 Alternative Public Space. A Community-Driven Future
Mustapha El Moussaoui

538 Cities and New Science:Challenges and Opportunities of AI in Planning
Paolo Fusero, Maura Mantelli, Lorenzo Massimiano

Copia omaggio autori

540 Digital platforms for urban issues and governance: dilemmas and challenges. An overview
Vito Garramone

542 Addressing urban retail in data models. Measuring change in a dynamic activity that impacts urban life
Barros Guerton, Javier

544 Eco-Fusion: Bridging Architecture and Nature in Urban Design
Panagiota Koulali, Anastasios Tellios

546 Cybernetic Urbanism: Digital Twins on Artificial Neural Network
Angelica Rocco

548 The Regional Digital Twin as support for innovative multi-risk planning
Sara Sacco, Federico Eugeni, Donato Di Ludovico

550 Beyond Data Extractivism: Convivial Approach in AI-Enhanced Urban Planning
Sabrina Sacco

552 Augmented reality as an innovative tool for citizen participation in planning: Results of the 5G-CityVisAR research project
Lars Sievers, Eva Reinecke e Frank Othengrafen

554 Algorithms based on the Casual Loop Diagram to identify problems supporting the urban regeneration process
Marco Volpatti, Marta Carla Bottero, Adriano Bisello

556 Informative city modeling and augmented reality: the City Augmented Reality for the Environment-CARE project
Ida Zingariello, Romano Fistola

558 A "phigital ecomuseum" of the territorial heritage for the House of Emerging Technologies of Campobasso (MolisCTE)
Luciano De Bonis, Giovanni Ottaviano

559 AI approach of emotion detection for multi-risk analysis in urban context
Vittorio Miraglia, Barbara Cardone, Ferdinando Di Martino

Parallel Workshops

9. PORTS, AIRPORTS AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURES

Coordinator: Angel Burov
Co-coordinator: Eleonora Giovene
Discussant: Lidiia Chyzhevska
Co-discussant: Massimo Clemente

562 An Alternative Sustainable Future for Vlora: An Integrated Decision-Support System for a Green Smart Port City
Giuditta Mikhail, Gaetano Tortorella, Andrea Paglialunga, Giuseppe D'Aniello, Massimo Savino, Maria Cerreta

564 City-Port interface planning. An integrated approach applied to Brindisi
Giuseppe Ciciriello, Francesca Calace

566 Port heritage enhancement for sustainable development: the case of Naples, Salerno and Castellammare port cities
Massimo Clemente, Gaia Daldanise, Benedetta Ettorre, Eleonora Giovene di Girasole

568 Integrating UAV Infrastructures in Urban and Rural Landscapes: A Framework for Sustainable and Inclusive Development
Stefano Cunietti, Chiara Sammarco, Ilaria Ferrando, Domenico Sguerso

570 Integration between land-use and climate action for port cities. The case study of Port of Hamburg
Irina Di Ruocco

572 The culture of integration for the sustainable development of port cities. The case of Livorno as a national best practice
Massimo Clemente, Benedetta Ettorre

574 Exploring unrevealed land-maritime borders in the Eastern Mediterranean through infrastructures
Carlotta Giordani

576 Between protection and land development: evaluation of a strategy
Federica Isola, Federica Leone

Copia omaggio autori

578 Plan of the Tourist Port Network of Sardinia
Italo Meloni, Massimiliano Ponti, Giuliana Caruso, Massimiliano Mongelli

580 Strategies, functional taxonomy, and typologies for planning city-port interaction areas. The complex relationship between city and port: towards integrated planning
Carmen Mariano, Maria Racioppi

582 The role of railways toward sustainable and cohesive territories. An integrated approach for the territorial impact assessment
Mario Tartaglia, Serena Martini, Chiara Ravagnan,Chiara Amato

Parallel Workshops

10. UNDERGROUND SPACE

Coordinator: Bjorn Bonello
Co-Coordinator: Markus Hedorfer
Discussant: Elizabeth Reynolds

586 VCHM – Venice Central Hub Mestre. Think deep to create metropolitan cohesion
Gianfranco Gramola, Markus Hedorfer, Matteo Montagner, Donatella Schiuma, Roberto Misceo

588 Landscape of disruption. Outcome of telluric actions in the territory of Rome
Ilaria Maurelli

590 Underground Built Heritage as catalyser of urban regeneration. Case-studies in the Naples Metropolitan area
Giuseppe Pace, Gabriella Esposito, Luisa Fatigati

592 It's getting crowded: Managing underground resource utilization through a network perspective
Katrin Pakizer, Fabienne Sierro

594 Including all the layers – making better places from the (under) ground up
Elizabeth Reynolds

596 Potential of public underground car park reconversions in European cities
Rosina Vinyes- Ballbé

Copia omaggio autori

Foreword
Inclusive Places and People

*Marichela Sepe**

The Biennial of European Towns and Town Planners is an event of the European Council of Urban Planners ECTP-CEU which aims at discussing the main issues in the European debate relating to urban planning by sharing them with urban planners, architects, engineers, economists, sociologists, historians of architecture, citizens, politicians, private and non-governmental organizations (NGOs).

Since the first edition in 1995, problems have been debated at the Biennials, experiences have been exhibited and alternative solutions have been proposed.

The theme chosen for the 14° Edition, organized from 22 to 24 April 2024 in Naples with the INU as the main organizer, is Inclusive Cities and Regions/ Territoires inclusifs. Inclusion is understood in its multiple declinations that define the 10 general themes of this Biennial - Regional issues and regional disparity, Metropolitan or city proposals, Urban regeneration and Public Spaces, Migration and cultural inclusion, Cultural heritage, Resilience and adaptation, New economic approaches, IT and the use of artificial intelligence in planning, Ports, airports and other infrastructures, Underground space – and in additional ones proposed by the participants who further specify the general themes namely About Spatial Inclusivity, Urban regeneration and spatial justice with Nature-Based Solution, Inclusive public spaces for water cities facing climate change, Italian UNESCO Chairs vision and actions, A Transdisciplinary Approach to Placemaking and Inclusivity: COST Action Dynamics of Placemaking, Inclusive city Ecosystems, Youthbanism for a New Generation of Urbanists, Fragile geographies. Visions, projects and studies to mitigate and adapt to environmental and anthropogenic risk, Green Oasis for the 15 minutes city model, Making/unmaking urban circular economies with 'otherness', Public space for inclusive cities: the Biennial of Public Space, Universal accessibility and university education, the knowledge network, Findings and Evidences from the PNRR project RETURN, and River Contracts as voluntary and negotiated planning tools.

The works presented by administrators, professionals, academics and researchers who responded to the call concern projects, policies and research that have international interest and, at the same time, attention to the local, all at different scales.

It is possible, from this vastness of topics, to understand the broad discussion that resulted, outlining new interested subjects and involved actors, as well as new possible intersections of themes.

The theme of inclusion is in fact recalled in all the United Nation 17 Sustainable Goals, as well as in the principles of the New Urban Agenda adopted in 2016 during the III Un-Habitat Conference in Quito to underline the need for a holistic vision of this concept.

Among these, the principle 37. "We commit ourselves to promoting safe, inclusive, accessible, green and quality public spaces, including streets, sidewalks and cycling lanes, squares, waterfront areas, gardens and parks, that are multifunctional areas for social interaction and inclusion, human health and well-being, economic exchange and cultural expression and dialogue among a wide diversity of people and cultures, and that are designed and managed to ensure human development and build peaceful, inclusive and participatory societies, as well as to promote living together, connectivity and social inclusion"; and the principle 40. "We commit ourselves to embracing diversity in cities and human settlements, to strengthening social cohesion, intercultural dialogue and understanding, tolerance, mutual respect, gender equality, innovation, entrepreneurship, inclusion, identity and safety, and the dignity of all people, as well as to fostering liveability and a vibrant urban economy. We also commit ourselves to taking steps to ensure that our local institutions promote pluralism and peaceful coexistence within increasingly heterogeneous and multicultural societies".

* 14° Biennale Local Chair, DICEA-Sapienza Università di Roma, marichela.sepe@uniroma1.it

Copia omaggio autori

In this sense, inclusion must be understood as a set of actions aimed not only at ensuring that each place and/or person is considered adequately within societies and territories, but also at modifying any prejudices that could - in some way - discriminate against places and /or people compared to others. Accordingly, the actions - in their broadest sense - must be both material and immaterial; any policy, if is not accompanied by a participation process within all the involved - even potentially - subjects will not have lasting effects over time.

Accessibility and the elimination of architectural barriers, for example, will be able to guarantee that people with disabilities can enter in a place but not that they wholly feel comfortable and accepted in it if, for example, in addition to being able to access that space, no recreational activities are available, that they can access and make them all feel truly included.

Likewise, urban planning projects aimed at making geographically internal places more inclusive in terms of physical connections will not be able to have the expected results if this is not preceded by actions aimed at creating a greater attachment of people to those places in terms of memory and proximity. traditions.

And this attitude will also have to refer to physical infrastructures and - even more - to the more innovative virtual ones - such as the artificial intelligence - which will increasingly have to support people with different abilities in an equity perspective.

Finally, I return to those who consult this catalogue the wealth of contents expressed in the 14th edition of the Biennale to use, share, and rework them, each for their own interests.

Copia omaggio autori

Introductions
The policies of inclusion and the advocacy of urban civilization

*Michele Talia**

The European city has been a great incubator of human history for many centuries. It is still the driving center of economic, social and cultural development, but after playing a fundamental role in socialization, it now seems to have lost its ability to foster aggregation and social inclusion.
This withdrawal from exercising a fundamental role in developing the capacity to adapt to social change and the new challenges of contemporary society occurs paradoxically at the very time when the urban condition tends to constitute the dominant character of our continent. In the European Union, more than two-thirds of the population now lives in urban areas, and this corresponds to a concentration of 85 percent of GDP and about 80 percent of energy consumption.

Since social networks have a significant impact on the formation of the identity of local communities, the crisis of integration and social inclusion processes risks translating at the same time into a profound alteration of identity processes.

As social networks have a significant impact on individual and collective identity, the crisis of social integration and inclusion processes is likely to simultaneously result in a profound alteration of self-representation patterns.

The main crisis spots are caused by the deterioration of relations between the urban center and the periphery, where there is growing social polarization, driven by de-industrialization and increasingly extensive globalization processes. Here we are witnessing the emptying of entire urban suburbs of productive activities and urban provisions that could have played an essential role in fostering improved urban quality and greater social cohesion.

The main crisis spots are caused by the de terioration of relations between urban center and periphery, where there is growing social polarization, driven by de-industrialization and increasingly extensive globalization processes.

In particular, we are witnessing the desertification of entire urban districts, whose productive activities and urbanistic endowments could have played an essential role in fostering improved urban quality and increased social cohesion.

Among the dynamics between the center and the suburbs that the post-industrial crisis has accelerated is a growing impetus to "gentrification," namely the "colonization" of degraded urban areas by economically affluent individuals or households. The cost of such processes is the expulsion of residents, the geometric increase in property values, and the radical change in its social composition.

Therefore, globalization is creating a new emerging class of managers, politicians, scientists, artists, entertainment and sports people who represent a cosmopolitan bourgeoisie that moves easily and marks global cities with its presence. At the same time, it is still cities that absorb most of the impact of emigration from the global South.

Spatial mobility thus concerns the extremes of social classes: on the one hand, the globalized bourgeoisie, on the other hand, people fleeing poverty and wars and settling in the old, pre-existing urban fabric, often affected by deindustrialization and loss of social cohesion.

Although it has happened that public policies have attempted to counter the processes of marginalization, not only the current polarization of economic welfare, but also a crisis in the welfare system that appears beyond repair and a European Union increasingly alarmed by the entry of massive flows of migrants cause us to look with concern at the future of the city on our continent.

* President of the National Institute of Town Planning

Copia omaggio autori

For all these reasons, the main topics proposed for discussion by 14th Biennial of European Cities and Town Planners urge us to imagine a different tomorrow, in which the widespread tendency towards the regeneration of the public city can contribute to a paradigm shift, capable of guaranteeing social integration and putting the best energies of society back into motion. To get out of the crisis, we need to change the idea of the city, reconstructing the public city and urban welfare, and identifying some possible paths that could be adopted to make urban society more fair and cohesive.

Starting from an initial consideration of the values and meanings to underpin the redevelopment and regeneration strategies, the policy framework can be articulated to encompass the main welfare measures experienced in Europe today:
a) pursuit of the fundamental objectives of safety and urban well-being;
b) containment of land consumption;
c) implementation of actions to combat climate change;
d) enhancement of sustainable mobility;
e) improvement of the conditions of accessibility to the public city;
f) development of policies aimed at fostering social inclusion;
g) involvement of residents in the identification of urban policy targets and in decision-making processes.

In welcoming the participants to the Biennial in Naples, I believe it is appropriate to underline the importance of a comparison and exchange of good practices that can be valuable not only to promote the sharing of knowledge and experiences, but also to lay the foundations of new European partnerships and policies aimed at strengthening social inclusion and living with immigration.

Copia omaggio autori

Inclusion dilemmas

*Francesco Domenico Moccia**

Inclusion topic is a central aim of European Union and its member state territorial policy and, in the meantime, one of the more controverse concept because it lies in a conflicting arena of opposite economic political theory. Neoliberals consider inclusion as a by-product of growth reached with the so-called spill down effect. Only if a country increases wealth, can provide to the wellness of all its citizens and reduce economic and social exclusion and include stigmatized spaces where they live. Criticism on this process highlight polarization effects of economic development. An ESPON study in 2007 explains the lack of correlation between polycentrism and growth in Europe with the privilege of central European regions (blue banana) and monocentric capital metropoles in capturing globalization benefits. So, in contrast with market rationality, welfare state should take responsibility in caring of reclused people and space. In Italy, the passage from the large coalition of Draghi to the Meloni right wing governments follows this oscillation of focus on support to low-income families (citizen income) in contrast with deregulation for firms, targeted to GDP increase.

All statements about sustainable development – politic is full of oxymorons – requests equilibrium among social, economic, and environmental field. However, planners knows that real programs hardly can pursue that equilibrium: on the contrary, may generate conflicts, sometimes as unexpected effect. Fiscal incentives to homeowners to better energetic and ecological house performances eventually privileged the wealthier families increasing exclusion. Similarly, regeneration of neighbourhoods driven by private developers where real estate value increase may assure a resilient and sustainable space just to affluent families, raising divide and reach in the city space. Competitive mode of financial resources allocation met some criticism on the bases of privilege of the stronger public and private applicants in winning the grants. Poor people as well as small municipalities lacking technical expertise and personnel are disadvantaged while the much needed of help. So, while competition give more probability in the efficient improvement of interventions, it feeds the better and let lagging the worst places. To favour the last a planning mode is preferred so that territorial disequilibrium is analysed and, with a combined process bottom-up and top-down, strategies are elaborated helping local resources to emerge with the guidance of professionals, technical assistance of upper tears government organizations. An exemplar of this methodology is the National Strategy of Inner Areas. An apparent paradox of inclusion policy is the place-based approach because it theorizes that inclusion is obtained through development of differences. Its acceptance means a conception of inclusion made of differences, linked by complementarity and cooperation instead of uniformity. While the economic reason of integrated territorial development is based on differences of local resource like territorial capital, knowledge, and assets to develop with tailored solutions in different types of territories, the result is competitiveness for places, a long-term and unique function in the urban and regional network.

Territorial Agenda 2030 advocate for two territorial priorities for Europa: just and green. Two conflicting ends are at work: European territory is pushed to ecological and digital transition, now in a more felt global competition, on one end, and to spatial cohesion, on the other, trying to conceal two opposites. Weakness of cohesion policy is evident in the increasing opposition to European Commission directives, linked to populism politic spread in European counties, and culminating in Brexit. A growing number of studies are analysing personal and contextual factors related to this criticism and mapping a geography of discontent. In places that have been left behind, where there is a long-term economic and industrial decline, brain drain, either the more developed or often dynamic large cities or simply the least developed regions, anti-EU voting is on the rise. It seems that the more recent exclusion harder it is felt also behind classical dualisms centre/periphery, rural/city, metropolis/town. This research speaks about difficulties of building a European polity because that is at stake in complex dynamics of entrance and

* INU general secretary fdmoccia@gmail.com

Copia omaggio autori

exit, acceptance, and refusal of the Union as expression of approval or disapproval of its policy: territorial policy included. Inclusion comes from the Latin *includere* composed of *in-* inside and *claudere* close, and planners are authorized to extend the some meaning to space where segregated neighbourhoods and put-apart territories suffering exclusion. Although a strategic objective for nowadays Europe Union, inclusion is not a final achievement, rather a starting point. Pulling inside an open society builder of millenary complex city and regions cannot avoid conflict calling for a planner's main action of problem solver and dialogue facilitator. This task made more difficult by redundancy of communication of our time where – says Habermas – even identification of public deliberative issues object of civil society sphere conversation is at stake and rational discourse for comprehension among diversities is hard. It implies that one time we are gathered we should comprehend each other.

Copia omaggio autori

From Migration & Inclusion to Inclusive cities and Regions

*Giuseppe De Luca**

Inclusive cities and regions are the keywords around which the *14th Biennale of European Towns and Town Planners revolves,* organised by the *European Council of Spatial Planners-Conseil Européen des Urbanistes* (ETCP-CEU), with *Istituto Nazionale di Urbanistica* (INU), as the main co-organiser, and along with *Associazione Nazionale degli Urbanisti Italiani* (ASSURB).
The discussion about Inclusion as the theme for the Biennale started several years ago in the ECTP-CEU Working Groups. It was proposed in the introductory report of 6th November 2018. Since then, the proposal has been progressively improved, with a time schedule that needed to be updated several times. The debate was linked on the one hand to migrations generated by difficult geopolitical and economic conditions, and on the other to the effects of extreme climate events and the outcomes that these were beginning to manifest on European territory, also in terms of public awareness and political perception. The Covid-19 pandemic directs the debate around the fragile conditions of cities and territories, leading to the *Re-Start Europe Manifesto Declaration* (in 2020) for an inclusive and just post-covid future for all communities. Important document, organised into 24 points, the most important of which is No. 6, titled as follows: "The shared long-term European goal for more inclusive, just and sustainable development has been put at risk".

However, the time to implement this was short, very short. Just in February 2022, this discussion crossed paths with the brutal aggression of the Russian armed forces against Ukraine. A large European country is being exposed in nearly every region to a situation that was absent from the European continent since the end of the second world war. The scenario changes significantly and with it a new vision based on inclusiveness begins to take shape in the main places of contemporaneity: cities and regions.

The change in perspective is important, because it moves from the study of a phenomenon to the study of the space within which it takes shape. It is related to the role that cities and regions, and thus their instruments of government but also forms of governance, can play in responding to the new contemporaneity.

Cities play a crucial role in the organisation of human society, providing opportunities for work, education, entertainment and cultural exchange. They are centres of innovation, creativity and economic development, but can also face challenges such as pollution, traffic congestion, limited access to housing and resources, as well as social inequalities. The regions also play a role, not in the sense of institutional areas, but rather in the sense of large areas. While cities tend to focus on urban life and the management of challenges specific to urban areas, regions have a broader and more integrated perspective that includes both urban and rural areas within their territory. Both play crucial roles in people's lives and the organisation of society, albeit in slightly different ways.

Inclusivity has been divided into ten sub-themes, yet, from reading the contributions received, the keywords that intersect them all are, in my view, seven and are held together by a single practical approach: that of cooperative governance.

Diversity and Respect: Inclusive cities celebrate diversity and recognize the value of different cultures, languages, and perspectives. They promote respect and understanding among residents, fostering a sense of belonging for all.

Equitable Access: prioritise equal access to essential services such as healthcare, education, transportation, housing, and employment. They work to eliminate barriers that prevent marginalised groups from fully participating in society.

Social Inclusion: promote social inclusion by addressing issues of discrimination, poverty, and inequality. They implement policies and programmes that empower marginalised communities and promote social justice.

* INU-ASSURB, University of Florence, giuseppe.deluca@unifi.it

Copia omaggio autori

Accessibility: ensure that public spaces, buildings, transportation systems, and information are accessible to people of all ages and abilities, including those with disabilities.

Community Engagement: for actively engage residents in decision-making processes and encourage participation from diverse voices. They foster a sense of community ownership and collaboration in shaping the city's future.

Affordability: address affordability challenges by implementing policies to ensure that housing, transportation, and other essential services are affordable for residents of all income levels.

Safety and Well-being: prioritise the safety and well-being of all residents, working to create environments where everyone feels secure and protected from violence, discrimination, and other forms of harm.

Overall, inclusive cities and regions recognise that diversity is a strength and are committed to building communities where everyone has the opportunity to thrive and contribute to the collective prosperity. Co-operative governance consists of the mechanisms, processes, and structures through which decisions are made and resources are allocated in cities, metropolitan areas, and broader regions. It involves the coordination of various actors, including government agencies, local authorities, community organizations, businesses, and residents, to address the challenges and opportunities facing urban and regional areas.

This is the main result that emerges from the studies and research presented in this Biennale.

Copia omaggio autori

Keynote speeches
Inclusivity in an adaptive planning system

*Janet Askew**

The power of the citizen is confronting all planners across Europe. Inclusivity is a critical concern of spatial planners, and in the UK, public participation in policy and decision-making has been enshrined in law since 1968. Planners, aided by communities and civil society, have been experimenting with how best to achieve inclusive physical, social, and economic environments for all parts of society. In 2024, we have a better understanding of inclusivity.

Has the adaptive, indicative planning system of the UK hindered or helped with the ambitions to involve citizens in decision-making? Does this system allow for a more inclusive society? What has changed? How has it evolved since 1968?

Many communities found a voice in the 1960s, when protests were happening all over Europe. In the UK, people were calling for higher levels of involvement in the planning of their areas. In the 1970s, there were reversals of policies which aimed to demolish communities and their homes. In London, a famous community protest against the demolition of the historic Covent Garden won the battle to retain and regenerate the old market and Opera House, now one of the most successful tourist honeypots.

The early days concentrated on community and individual involvement in meetings, small focus groups, role play, leaflets and advertisements seeking comments on planning applications and policies. There were some exemplary community projects where local people put forward their ideas for a neighbourhood, and radical planners who invented different ways of engaging the public. How to do this has dominated the literature for many years, and UK practice has ranged from radical ideas of citizen control to mere symbolic reassurance or meaningless consultation. It is recognised that methods of consultation and participation do not necessarily reach so called 'hard to reach' groups, people who would not normally get involved in local or national planning issues. Who contributes? Who has the loudest voice? Who hears? Who listens? What changes?

* ECTP-CEU Past-President

Planners have engaged with these issues for over 50 years, and in that time, citizen involvement has evolved. It is arguably more participatory now than before, partly because the UK adaptive planning system makes room for a more inclusive approach.

The planning system of the UK requires public involvement at every stage, depending heavily on negotiation through all stages. In policy-making, there is a hierarchy of plans from national to local to neighbourhood, and at each level there are time limits on inviting public and stakeholder comment – objection or support. The main arena for large-scale public participation is the local plan - the statutory development plan, to which land holders, developers, civil groups, public bodies, utilities, and the public contribute. Neighbourhood planning directly involves communities who participate in the allocation of land in their district, but it must conform with higher plans. There is considerable interest and participation when permission is sought to develop or change the use of land or buildings, and it is in this stage that the public and other stakeholders can have real influence. There is usually extensive negotiation over the details of design plus any value capture payments.

The adaptive system is inclusive because decision-making on how to use the land or building is discretionary – the final decision being made only at the point of granting planning permission for a development. There are no rigid, legally binding plans, nor is the permission granted in perpetuity – it only lasts for 5 years - after which it lapses.

In the 21st century, methods of involving stakeholders have been honed. There is a widening recognition that stakeholders in planning involve many different interests with unique characteristics, which planners need to accommodate. Diversity and inclusivity are higher on the agenda, targeted at certain hard-to-reach groups. Civil society, especially environmental groups are amongst the loudest, along with other interest groups who lobby hard for their interests, including developers, who nevertheless, accept that public consultation is embedded in the system.

Copia omaggio autori

Women have long argued for the city to be more suited to their needs. Glasgow has just voted to become the first feminist city, concentrating on public spaces, safety, lighting, public toilets, access. Disability is recognised as a necessity for planning differently. Belfast has a disability strategy for the city. How do we plan for the aged? Age-friendly places demonstrate adaptation for older people. In Taiwan, whole towns are designated as age-friendly. The RTPI publishes guidance on dementia-friendly environments, along with recognition of people with neurological conditions, such as autism, showing how the city needs to cater for their complex needs. The United Nations campaigns for better environments for children. Cardiff has recently become the first UK city to be a UNICEF child-friendly city. LGBQT groups seek their own spaces, and symbolic road crossings are seen in cities as far apart as Vancouver in Canada and Derry in Northern Ireland.

In conclusion, the indicative or adaptive system of the UK offers more opportunities to be inclusive than more rigid imperative systems might do. Planning in the UK, known for its discretion and negotiation at every stage of policy and decision-making, has significantly adapted its approach towards a more inclusive approach in the 21st century.

Copia omaggio autori

ECTP-CEU's vision on inclusive cities and regions – territoires inclusifs

*Markus Hedorfer**

Before entering more properly into the theme of this year's Biennale's and how it was conceived and brought forward by our organisation, I would like to spend a few words about the organisation itself.

The European Council of Spatial Planners — ECTP-CEU, in French Conseil européen des urbanistes — is an umbrella organisation that brings together the professional planners' associations and institutes in Europe. Currently, we have managed to recruit members from about 30 countries. It has been founded in 1985 as the successor organisation of the town planners' liaison committee at the European Communities. Later it has opened its door to all 46 countries of the Council of Europe.

Our goal is to promote spatial planning as a distinct profession. For many years, this profession has been encroached upon by other specialists convinced of their competence in this field. The truth is that approaching spatial planning scientifically makes it a separate profession. Moreover it must become a *robust* profession, where 'robust' means that it is clearly identifiable, clearly recognised by society and with clearly defined competences. Therefore, it must have, at least in Europe, a continent-wide common, full-grade initial education framework with common academic and professional titles, cross-border recognition and a common CPD framework for continuous professional development.

Being a member of such an association as ours has the advantage of being able to share ideas and knowledge about our mistakes and learn from each other. To achieve this, we promote a professional approach to spatial planning. Part of this involves fostering mutual understanding among urban planners from different European countries. Despite the strong differences between planning systems in Europe, our workshops and conferences have shown very well how similar the problems are that our colleagues must tackle every day in their professional work. Also, the solutions that our professional knowledge and expertise suggest are very similar in all our countries. Translation from these possible solutions into planning regulations and norms, which are at the centre of many national and regional planning systems, is a challenge we are facing in ECTP-CEU's formal and informal meetings. Another important aspect in our association's work is communicating to other people, such as decision-makers, stakeholders, citizens and, at the highest level, lawmakers, the importance of a correct approach to spatial planning, with professionally skilled colleagues and interdisciplinary planning process teams. We are making slow progress and hope for more successes in this aspect in the near future. We also believe that young students and young professionals are an important part needed to achieve this goal. So in our vision, we want young planners to collaborate with the European Council of Spatial Planners. An opportunity to do this is through our annual Young Planners' Workshop where students, junior and senior planners can meet and exchange their opinions and experience.

The ECTP-CEU and its members are actively getting involved in these issues. We have published case studies of good practice for post-Covid recovery. Exchanging ideas and comparing good and bad practice within an umbrella non-governmental organisation offers security to countries who are facing challenging situations — politically and environmentally. Planners in Ukraine are talking to other European countries, including the ECTP-CEU, about the post-war re-construction of their country, and these links will surely be of benefit. Conferences held by ECTP-CEU offer support to members on issues as diverse as social justice, migration, climate justice, marine spatial planning, and planning for those areas on the edge of Europe. In 2022, young planners addressed how to regenerate the mass housing neighbourhoods of our recent past. In 2023, the first conference was held about small island planning, based in Malta where the issues of migration, density, over-development, heritage were high on the agenda; and in complete contrast, our second conference for members and young planners was in Gdańsk, the theme being 'transforming cities'.

* ECTP-CEU, President, secretariat@ectp-ceu.eu, markus.hedorfer@urbanisti.it

Copia omaggio autori

Inclusion, inclusivity or inclusiveness is present in all these considerations. I think it is correct to say that the European and global planners' professional community is dealing with these aspects for many years now, and that, like in other aspects, decision-makers and lawmakers discover them much later than we do despite our efforts. Recently, we have tried to define — without any claim to completeness — six different kinds of inclusion.

Social inclusion, which is probably the most commonly perceived idea of inclusion, i.e., an inclusive process which involves all members of society in decision-making, regardless of race, social standing, age, ability, gender etc. at all levels of governance and for this to be incorporated into the laws and policies at the ap-propriate scale).

Spatial inclusion at different levels — neighbourhood, urban, metropolitan, regional, in which places are planned and designed to include everyone, to allow equal access to city spaces, public open space, housing, transport, affordable health, amenities and infrastructure.

Migratory inclusion to welcome and/or settle short-term, long-term or permanent new citizens, and to investigate case studies in which migrants have been successfully incorporated into the society that they join.

Cultural inclusion — planning for different ethnic communities and ensuring that the identity and heritage of a place is con-served with sensitivity.

Economic inclusion – planning for employment and commercial developments which reflect the changing economic landscape and the very different nature of work in the 21st century. How can planners ensure that all workers of whatever occupation have equal access to good working conditions, including the location of industry?

Finally, Inclusion to overcome conflict situations, such as natural vs. human landscape, heritage vs. contemporaneity, risk vs. resilience, linearity vs. circularity, permanent vs. temporary residents, port/airport vs. city/region.

Copia omaggio autori

Regulatory Inclusiveness and Territorial equipment

*Carolina Giaimo**

In Italy, the construction of a social welfare-system based not on the resolution of emergencies but on promoting people's wellbeing and skills as an engine of development and employment and a factor of social inclusion, has distant roots, dating back to the end of the 19th century. However, these notes make specific reference to the recent phase, following the turn of the 2000s.

About welfare and Essential Levels of Services

Among the innovative contents envisaged by the reform of the Italian Constitution in 2001, there are the Essential Levels of Services (ELS) that are connected to civil and social rights and must be guaranteed throughout the national territory. The constitutional reform had assigned the State the task of defining them, as a matter of exclusive competence. It is well known that this content has remained unimplemented for almost 20 years.

It was only in 2019 that the Minister - of that time - for Regional Affairs and Autonomies resumed this topic by drafting a bill on the implementation of differentiated regionalism to which we must give credit for having conditioned access to the differentiated legislative autonomy of the Italian regions to 3 questions: the identification of the ELS; the determination of standard-costs and requirements; the regulation of the equalisation-fund for regions with tax revenues that are unable to ensure the ELS in practice.

In the current legislature, it has once again become a topical issue brought to the political scene's-attention because it is connected to the definition of the differentiated legislative autonomy of the regions: among the transferable subjects there is territorial government. The allocation of functions over which autonomy is to be exercised requires establishing which services and benefits are to be offered throughout the country to guarantee the same social and civil rights of citizens throughout the national territory. This means that if the State defines an ELS, then it must also guarantee municipalities, provinces, metropolitan cities and regions sufficient resources to provide them.

The ELS are based on a Technical Report wich identifies also the 'territorial government' within which are identified, among others: the National Building Code (Dpr 380/2001); the urban planning law and the sectorial laws that have an impact on spatial planning; and also the Decree 1444/1968 - on urban standards.

Based on this Report, in January 2024, the Senate has approved a draft bill proposed by the government concerning *Dispositions for the implementation of the differentiated autonomy of ordinary statute regions*: a measure that is now being examined by the Chamber of Deputies. So if, on the one hand, the draft bill defines the general principles for the attribution to ordinary statute regions of further particular forms and conditions of autonomy, specifying that the process must take place 'in respect of national unity and to remove discrimination and disparities in access to essential services on the territory', on the other hand, it says that the attribution of further functions, relating to matters connected with civil and social rights will be subject to the determination of the ELS including those related to the fundamental functions of local authorities. The fact that this draft bill attributes the nature of 'essential services' to both 'services concerning civil and social rights' and 'fundamental functions of local authorities', generates a series of possible dangerous misunderstandings in the application of these provisions because the ELS, instead of being transformed into resources destined for the implementation of interventions to contrast urban and territorial gaps and disparities to the benefit of families and activities, it will be aimed at the distribution of resources to cover the costs of the functions exercised by the authorities.

The INU proposal

The importance of recognising in the ELS those minimum endowments that in Italy we call 'urban standards' since 1968, is also recognised by the INU and is an important content of the proposal for a law of principles for the territorial government, relaunched with the Bologna Congress in 2022, which has activated a sort of 'work-site' for the finalisation of a proposal.

What connections there may be between ELS and a fundamental activity of territorial government that, like planning, has as its central goal the public and general interest, starting with the relations with the regulation of services defined by urban planning standards?

* Vicepresidente INU| Politecnico di Torino | DIST - Dipartimento Interateneo di Scienze, Progetto e Politiche del Territorio, carolina.giaimo@polito.it

Copia omaggio autori

Writing the law of principles on territorial government and planning is the correct regulatory context to affirm that the minimum endowment of urban standards responds to the recognition of a minimum essential level.

It is well known that DM 1444/68 still defines minimum quantities of public spaces and equipment to be allocated for the entire national territory for parks, playground and sport, education, parking and facilities of common interest. It means that the urban standards are, therefore, a spatial prerequisite for the endowment of public space and the provision of public services. In that case, it is however a necessary but not sufficient condition for the realisation (and the proper functioning) of quality urban environments and for offering citizens the guarantee of the right to equal social dignity envisaged by the Constitution. The INU proposal i) confirms, after more than fifty years of validity of Decree 1444, the symbolic, political, technical and cultural value of this norm as a milestone for spatial planning; ii) revisits and updates the matter of urban standards; c) confirm the need to pursue social equity and the guarantee of the provision of spaces for the supply of public services throughout the national territory via minimum and mandatory urban and territorial endowments, which find their primary basis in the exclusive competence of the State reguarding the determination of the ELS.

The quantity of public land regulated in municipal plans constitutes, therefore, 'only' the vital premise for the concrete provision of services and the implementation of public policies. The endowment of a quantitative reserve of public areas represents the fundamental minimum basic guarantee that is placed at the beginning of a complex process aimed at satisfying collective and social needs but does not absolve the public actor from its responsibility of formulating and implementing planning and management policies.

Copia omaggio autori

Regional strategies for territorial cohesion (OP 5. Europe closer to citizens)

*Bruno Discepolo**

The central theme of the 14th Biennale of European Towns and Town Planners, focused on 'Inclusive Cities and Regions,' plays a strategic role in the urban and regional planning of the Campania Region.

It is indeed becoming increasingly urgent to adapt cities to meet the diverse needs of their communities, designing urban spaces that promote social inclusion, economic equality, and environmental sustainability. This contributes to the formation of communities that must be not only resilient but also cohesive and equitable.

The conference will address several key issues currently prominent in public debate, including how urban planning can be used to counter social exclusion and marginalization. Discussions will focus on integrating vulnerable populations, including migrants, the elderly, and people with disabilities, into the social context of settings. The role of technology and innovative urban design in improving accessibility and participation in city life will also be strategic.

We must not overlook the impact of global challenges such as climate change and economic disparities on urban inclusivity. During these days, experts will present the best practices and case studies of cities and regions from around the world that have successfully implemented inclusive policies and projects.

In the coming days, the contributions of experts and scholars may provide ideas and tools to policymakers and stakeholders on how to design truly inclusive cities and regions, ensuring that all inhabitants, regardless of their background or roles, can thrive.

Very briefly, I would like to illustrate how the Campania Region is addressing the issue of inclusivity, specifically referring to the activities being developed in recent years by the Assessorato al Governo del Territorio, also in the context of the programming of European Union funds for the 2021-2027 cycle, concerning Policy Objective 5, which falls under my responsibilities.

The socio-demographic and economic conditions in Campania are particularly critical. Research conducted by CRESME on behalf of the Region in 2021 presents an alarming vision of the future demographic scenarios for Campania, suggesting that without significant changes, the region risks losing essential elements for its revitalization, such as a solid production and employment base, skilled human resources, a robust internal market, and sufficient local tax revenues.

Projections indicate a loss of over half a million inhabitants over the next twenty years, caused by both low birth rates and migration. The employment situation is equally concerning, with an employment rate of only 44.5% in 2020, well below the European target of 75.0%. Additionally, Campania's per capita PIL in 2019 was only 44.0% of that of Trentino, and its total PIL was only 27.0% of that of Lombardy.

Moreover, in Campania, there are situations of severe settlement and socio-economic gaps, represented by the contrast between the coastal and lowland areas, which are denser in population, activities, and infrastructure, and the inland areas, which are mostly characterized by conditions of depopulation and strong marginality. Three-quarters of the regional population live in the Naples-Caserta-Salerno conurbation, and it is here that housing needs appear to be particularly problematic.

In this general framework, it is clear that the demands that have emerged at the European and national levels to define integrated territorial strategies that can effectively utilize available resources must be clearly defined and delineated as much as possible in accordance with territorial planning tools.

In the face of these prospects, it is essential to adopt a new culture of sustainable development and innovative models to guide Campania through ecological and digital transitions, enhancing local resources while respecting cultural identity and promoting welfare and social inclusion policies. These goals are central to the regional strategic plans for 2021-2027, as outlined in Campania's Regional Strategic Guidance Document (DRIS).

Over the past decades, the Campania Region has initiated a radical transformation in its approach to planning interventions on its territory, moving towards an integrated territorial planning strategy that focuses on a pluralistic vision of Campania, consisting of urban systems featuring medium-sized cities and territorial systems with strong naturalistic, cultural, and industrial characteristics.

* Town Planning Councillor, Campania Region

Copia omaggio autori

The Campania Region is currently engaged in the redefinition of land-government strategies, which, for some time now, have no longer been characterized as strategies of expansion but of urban regeneration. This shift aims primarily to rationalize housing needs within already urbanized areas while protecting green, agricultural, and natural spaces.

Regional planning under Policy Objective 5, "A Europe Closer to Citizens," cannot overlook a careful evaluation of phenomena occurring in the territory, notably the aging population, the loss of young people, and the extensive depopulation of entire areas.

The main activities of Assessorato al Governo del Territorio can be summarized into four macro-sectors:

- Updating urban planning regulations;
- Establishing compatibility frameworks for territorial enhancement actions through the development of a new Regional Landscape Plan;
- Integrating financial programming for the 2021-2027 EU funds cycle with urban and territorial planning through the new Territorial Agenda;
- Promoting social inclusion strategies through the Sustainable Living program, which supports regeneration, seismic safety improvements, and energy efficiency of the significant existing public residential building stock of the Region and Municipalities, and the production of new segments of public and social housing.

Twenty years after the approval of the regional urban planning law, it became necessary to intervene to define more effective legal and procedural bases to address the current challenges facing cities and territories.

A comprehensive amendment of the current law will soon be discussed in the Regional Council to ensure the necessary renewal of the legislative framework by introducing themes of urban and territorial regeneration, containment of land consumption, densification of already urbanized areas, upgrading urban facilities, improving seismic and hydrogeological safety, and energy efficiency of buildings.

The Regional Landscape Plan (PPR) results from a complex process of updating knowledge for the interpretation of Campania's landscape. The preliminary plan, approved in 2019, contains over 70 themes and 2,000 GIS-format maps.

The Plan is invaluable to define strategies to protect and enhance the territory, taking into account the social, demographic, economic, and cultural evolution of local communities, identifying and enhancing the environmental and cultural identity to be passed on to future generations.

With an innovative approach, it tackles today's planning challenges, such as soil consumption, climate change, territorial fragility, and regeneration, through an integrated action system aimed at urban and territorial requalification. This includes the reuse of degraded spaces, the reweaving of fragmented urban fabrics, the reconstruction of new landscapes, and the rational use of peri-urban spaces. This contributes to the definition of a Regional Territorial Agenda that focuses not only on the development of urban systems, but on the entire regional territory.

In the process of developing the Landscape Plan, local communities, as custodians of the landscape's identity values, play a strategic role in fine-tuning the enhancement strategies that stem from the landscape quality objectives assigned by the Plan to the territorial resources. In the coming weeks, an intense program will be launched, planning twenty meetings across various territories to start workshops for participatory planning.

In this scenario, the Region's strategy for the new cycle of programming European union resources aims to promote a new approach to sustainable and integrated development and urban regeneration, structured into:

Copia omaggio autori

- Twenty-three medium-sized urban areas including the four provincial capitals and major cities of the Region;
- broad territorial systems that coincide with the areas of the Masterplan-Integrated Development Programs, a new concept tool that, in the junction between the forecasts of landscape, territorial, and urban planning and the programming of economic and financial resources, proposes an innovative way of valorizing territorial resources;
- interior areas where the main goal is to counteract depopulation and demographic decline, also through the adaptation of services.

The fourth line of action is the promotion of the Plan for Sustainable Living, urban regeneration, and social inclusion, placing at the center of regional policies those for the right to housing, the requalification of suburbs, safety improvements, and energy efficiency of the building stock, and the regeneration of territories.

We are carrying out significant work with the Municipality of Naples for many peripheral neighborhoods in the city, focusing on numerous public housing districts.

The strategy aims to integrate multiple forms of intervention and resources to:
- increase the number and variety of public and Social Housing units;
- enhance the value of the existing urban building stock also through seismic safety improvements and energy efficiency;
- promote pilot urban regeneration initiatives;
- recover disused urban and productive areas.

Since two 2020, over 120 projects have been funded across the regional territory with total funding of approximately 600 million euros and a goal to invest a total of 1 billion euros by 2030.

In conclusion, I would like to highlight the crucial importance of this conference as a forum for sharing ideas, experiences, and strategies to build a more inclusive and sustainable future for our cities and regions. We face significant challenges, but also unique opportunities to reinvent our approach to urban and territorial development, as well as to redefine the identity and professionalism of technicians and professionals involved in various capacities in design, planning, and administrative processes. Continuous collaboration and dialogue among all participants are essential for translating shared objectives into concrete actions that improve the lives of all citizens. Thank you all for your attention, and I wish each of us a fruitful exchange of ideas and good work in the coming days.

Copia omaggio autori

Social housing and benefits in Austria: an approach in creating inclusive and just settlements

*Gerhard Vittinghoff MRTPI**

Austrian housing policy has maintained many features of the post-war housing policy scheme, especially the growing importance of limited-profit housing associations and the focus on supply-side subsidies are mayor tenants of this approach. The affordability has been promoted by reducing the costs of housing through low interest public loans and grants to ensure appropriate supply outcomes and relies far less on demand assistance than other systems.

The universalistic approach towards social housing avoids marginalization and stigmatization of the social housing segment and its tenants. Regulation plays a strong and prescriptive role in the Austrian model.

Specific land use instruments are in place and these include zoning categories which define well suited areas predominantly dedicated to subsidised Housing. These special areas are well situated within the urban framework. In identifying these areas, uniform and transparent allocation criteria allow for a good social mix in social housing estates. At the same time, affordable rents boost purchasing power. The large share of social housing contributes towards more affordable prices for a major proportion of the entire housing market.

Moreover, the sustained efforts towards "gentle urban renewal", too, play a role towards keeping housing in general affordable and also safeguard employment in the construction industry. This reflects a long tradition in Vienna and is likewise strongly supported by the population – a historic achievement that must be preserved for future generations.

Promoting a social mix in neighborhoods and preventing ghettoization has always been a priority of urban governance. In Vienna, municipal housing and affordable housing conducted by Limited Profit Housing Associations (LPHA) are scattered across the city. Compared to other cities the segregation in Vienna has remained relatively low. The local government sees the long-standing tradition of social housing construction as safeguards of good social mix. Social housing makes up 42% of the total housing stock and about 60% of all Vienna households live in social housing apartments, thus the city government remains in control of a large part of housing in the city. There are income limits to determine who can apply for social housing.

Promoting diversity is the major focus and it aims to bring together heterogeneity of various forms of living, working and various concepts of life, understandings of gender roles, values as well as economic, religious, language and cultural backgrounds. The focus is on co-creation of strategies at the local level and bringing various stakeholders into decision-making process such as public institutions, private enterprises, property owners, investors, civil society and individual residents.

* Austrian Federal Camber of Engineers – Spatial Planning, buero@vittinghoff.at

Copia omaggio autori

HOUSING CRISIS – after 1980's settlements reconversion and 2024 simplification

João Teixeira, Portugal

There are two periods of housing crisis in Portugal, that suggest solutions for the future, tackling immediate needs of affordable housing in Portugal:

- The illegal settlements constructed in the 1960's and 1970's and their reconversion in the 1980, based on *Vale de Milhaços* neighborhood reconversion.
- the Socialist Government solution, adopted in the beginning of 2024: simplification of processes, along with social housing construction.

Vale de Milhaços housing development

Vale de Milhaços is located 22 km *Península de Setúbal* (south of Lisbon). In the 1960´s several big enterprises were inaugurated in south of Lisbon, such as Nacional Steel Industry in 1961 and Margueira Shipyard in 1967, creating thousands of new jobs. Also, the Bridge, connecting Lisbon to the South bank, was built in 1966. The accessibility from *Vale de Milhaços* to Lisbon was reduced from 2 hours to 30 minutes. The demand for houses and land for construction was much bigger than supply. Illegal settlements appeared, and later, illegal constructions. Government couldn't control the situation.

Vale de Milhaços was an illegal allotment with plots around 300 sqm to 2000 sqm, along informal streets, without infrastructures or social equipment's. The reconversion of *Vale de Milhaços* illegal settlement and construction was based in the following principles:

- A General Plan developed involving new landowners in public meetings, later approved by the Municipality.
- A local technical team was created, paid by the Municipality, to implement the Plan, to help house owners to adapt existing houses according to legislation, to inform the local population about the Plan, and to control construction.
- The larger plots were destined for public equipment's.
- The owners of small lots had to give up 10% of the land area, when possible, for social equipment's and infrastructures.
- The costs of Infrastructures (street paving, water, sewage, electricity, and telephone infrastructures) were paid by the new owners of plots and houses, with installments during five years.
- Infrastructures projects and related works were coordinated by the technical team.
- The illegal promotor had to give away all the land owned and pay a fine for the illegal allotment.

Currently the accessibility has been increased with bus network and the new railway. The nearest station is located 700 meters from *Vale de Milhaços*.

Reconversion and rehabilitation were a very successful operation. It is a 128 hectares neighborhood with 5000 homes, taking advantage of urban infrastructure, domestic waste collection service, public transport, and social facilities: a kindergarten, two public schools (primary and secondary), a private school, a sports center, an Eco Museum, green areas, an urban park, as well as stores for everyday supplies. A house located in *Vale de Milhaços* can be worth between €300.000 (€1.500/sqm), and €700.000 (€3.000/sqm). The vision, the reconversion plan and the coordination of team's work were carried out by Professor Costa Lobo, one of the founders of ECTP-CEU.

2020 housing crisis

To solve the 2020 housing crisis, the Portuguese Government took several decisions during 2023/24, namely a new generation of housing policies (NGHP) with the following objectives:

- Respond to families living in severe housing shortages.
- Guarantee access to housing for all those who have no access to the market.
- Create the conditions for rehabilitation to be the main form of intervention in building and urban development.
- Promote social and territorial inclusion, along with housing choice opportunities.

Copia omaggio autori

The main measures to achieve these objectives are:

- To simplify the approval process for construction projects.
- The investment of 3.090 million Euros to rehabilitate and built new homes at affordable costs, financed by the Recovery and Resilience Facility, RRF, corresponding to 26.000 homes.
- To exempt from housing taxes, until 2029, the owners who give up tourist accommodation in favor of urban rental.
- To reduce housing rental taxes at affordable costs.
- To reduce or release real estate capital gains on the sale of second homes or land, to repay a loan for own and permanent housing.

Now and in the future

Recent policy became very expensive and takes times to implement. It doesn't ensure population involvement, by side public minimum participation.

Complementarity between housing policy and new urbanization plans can be strong solution, adequate to present needs:

- Locally, several new municipal, or part municipal developments could ensure large and diversify offer of affordable housing, as claim often by general population.
- New urbanization plans of municipal initiative, producing plot at affordable price, give the possibility to families invest in their own home over several years mobilizes savings and reduces the State's expense on housing development.
- It also allows tailor made projects, able to evolve through time adequate to family needs, adopting different sustainable solutions (Light steel framing, Light wooden framing, among others).

When the nature of the intervention takes the form of self-construction, the intervention of a specialized team is essential during the urbanization plan, design, and construction.

New urbanization plans of municipal initiative, benefiting from lessons learned, appear as a solution to be largely explored in Portuguese reality.

Copia omaggio autori

Parallel Workshop

4. Migration and cultural inclusion

Coordinators
Angela Barbanente
Co-cordinators
Gilda Berruti

Discussant
Marçel Pidalà

Copia omaggio autori

From formal to informal in the border city Miserabilia. The tax-selective formalism crumbles

*Silvia Dalzero**

A dominant complexity appears that cannot be governed and whose confinement imposed by an exasperated gigantism of walls and divisive structures that become the symbol of a state satisfied with its sovereign power is passively accepted. Contrary to what might have been expected, after the fall of the Berlin Wall, the concept of the border has moved away from the idea of a line of contact, of an ideal place of exchange. On the contrary (and the construction of the walls is a physical representation of this) it has become the space of division, exclusion and social marginalization which conditions flows and landscapes and distinguishes spaces which are no longer places of contact but of exclusion. It could be said that, in the wake of migrations due to war or famine, where the migrant is stranded, a Third Place stands out, able to identify an even stronger instability than that of informal cities and able to invent unexpected, visual openings, or physical, to overcome them, or to settle them as new cities of waiting and desperation which find their only organizing element in the wall. Real loose splinters of a malignant urbanity: the walls, the controlled areas, and the precarious settlements represent, in the contemporary panorama, something that goes beyond the idea of an informal city and comes to assume, in the most relevant cases, a stability that appears as its variant. A phenomenon that has an enormous impact in fortified border areas where millions of migrants set up their "suspended life" and, in the hell that these settlements often outline, distinguish forms of resistance that could anticipate even larger phenomena of architectural-urban construction. Well aware of being beyond the same concept of informal settlement, and well aware of the political and economic background that causes these situations, we remember that these settlements, in different forms and weights, express a contrast within the same cities. No longer considered just an evil to be eradicated but rather a privileged field of observation of the city which, in going beyond the usual planning criteria, considers these "suspended places" as real resources to be valorized. In this regard, a revolution in design thinking that overcomes the hegemony of the planned city and discovers how architecture can react to these "migrant realities" whose isolation, lack of complementary services and the urgency of responding to the role of the border in its being a physical barrier. For this reason, similarly to what architects have done in recent years, for example, in the urban-architectural regeneration of Latin American favelas, architectural projects are configured starting from the bottom or from self-construction and recognition of architectures or infrastructure plans capable of fueling integrated regeneration, and renegotiating the border as, not a wall border, but rather a threshold, edge, contact corridor, binational-cross-border region. In this scenario, the project becomes a driver of settlement development from which comes a platform of mutual knowledge that challenges the limit and "blurs" it in sharing and decolonization to co-produce new narratives, new alliances and new more equitable projects for the city. Cognitive horizons are envisaged able to discover an amplified spatial reality in which the contradictory becomes an authentic force through which to understand urbanity in the balance between structural and natural. Having said this, given that the border is a "wall in itself" and is capable of imposing: an inside and an outside, it is worth focusing on the multiple architectural aspects willing to renegotiate, by building, the relationship between individual and territory, and therefore between formal and informal, between temporary and stable. For this reason, it becomes strategic to read the intermediate state, of contact and isolation, and interpret the aspects of interaction between opposing compositional processes: excluding and including. Processes are now synthesized in a hyper-informality of settlement in which: trans-scalar, flexibility, and social interaction become constitutive elements and which are willing to fuel an all-encompassing constructive development. The process of trans-scalar is recognized as a factor which, from the propulsive centre of the wall, puts into tension, in being "marginal", dichotomous and contradictory realities. Flexibility stands as a factor responding to the instability of the border closure which reveals, throughout history, a continuous "renegotiation" and therefore innate temporariness responding to the migrant character of the "interrupted movement" which only at times takes on forms of rooting in the ground. At this point, we understand another distinctive factor in the suspended living of the migrant, namely: flexibility which, combined with architectural temporariness, proves to be an instrument of opening that sets in motion a dynamic interactive composition. With this, the experience of the border area recognizes the central role of the architectural project that is created, an agreement between otherness, since its ability to predict allows the dense network of delineable connections to be enhanced. For this reason, starting from the recognized dialogic relationship, self-construction processes are promoted which, born from below, compose spontaneous, dynamic and multiple spatiality. The tension that is emerging in the confrontation, in the interrelationship and the coalition, presents a different idea of settlement organization-composition which, in the dichotomy, composes the hyper-informal space of migrants living at the barricaded border.

* *IUAV, University of Architecture of Venice,* silviadal@virgilio.it

Copia omaggio autori





Fig. 1.
"Double cities" on the US-Mexico border that are subject to the migratory flow.



Fig. 2.
The wall between the USA and Mexico.

Copia omaggio autori

Collana ACCADEMIA diretta da Francesco Domenico Moccia
Volumi pubblicati

Passati prossimi. La valorizzazione dei territori storici in chiave di sviluppo locale.
di Roberta Lazzarotti

La pianificazione comunale nel Mezzogiorno.
a cura di Emanuela Coppola

Sinergie per città e territori. Riqualificazione urbana e rigenerazione industriale
a cura di Luca Fondacci

Una politica per le città italiane.
a cura di Francesco Domenico Moccia, Marichela Sepe

Progetti per il paesaggio. Libro in memoria di Attilia Peano
a cura di Angioletta Voghera

Infrastrutture sostenibili urbane.
di Emanuela Coppola

Reti e infrastrutture dei territori contemporanei.
a cura di Francesco Domenico Moccia e Marichela Sepe

Esplorazioni urbanistiche dello spazio pubblico.
a cura di Gilda Berruti

Pianificare le città metropolitane in Italia. Interpretazioni, approcci, prospettive
a cura di Giuseppe De Luca e Francesco Domenico Moccia

Metropoli senz'auto: scenari territoriali e trasformazioni delle aree di stazione nell'area metropolitana di Napoli, Salerno e Caserta .
a cura di Francesco Domenico Moccia

Reimagining Planning. How Italian Urban Planners Are Changing Planning Practices
Edited by Daniela De Leo and John Forester

Water Sensitive Urban Planning. Approach and opportunities in Mediterranean Metropolitan Areas
di Alessandro Sgobbo

La strategia di rigenerazione territoriale. Reti di connessione e valorizzazione dei luoghi di eccellenza dei Campi Flegrei
di Francesco Domenico Moccia e Barbara Scalera

Sviluppare, rigenerare, ricostruire città. Questioni e sfide contemporanee
Developing, regenerating and reconstructing cities. Contemporary topics and challenges
A cura di/edited by Francesco Domenico Moccia, Marichela Sepe

Luci e ombre della pianificazione regionale. Narrazioni e riflessioni di alcune esperienze
A cura di R. Cocchi, M. Giudice, D. Iacovone, F. Lorenzani, P. Mattiussi, M. Pascoli, R. Toffano

Fuori norma. Percorsi e ragionamenti su urbanistica e informale
di Gilda Berruti

Spazi pubblici nella città contemporanea: dai principi alle buone pratiche
di Marichela Sepe

Nuove prospettive per il territorio
a cura di *Francesco Domenico Moccia e Marichela Sepe*

Quartieri e crisi. Dinamiche di segregazione urbana e pratiche di innovazione sociale in Catalogna
di Ismael Blanco e Oriol Nel·lo – *Edizione Italiana a cura di* Angelino Mazza e Raffaele Paciello

Benessere e salute delle città contemporanee
a cura di Francesco Domenico Moccia e Marichela Sepe

ECOPOLI Visione Regione 2050
di Sandro Fabbro

Città non comune. Disobbedienza ed azione pubblica ai margini dello sviluppo urbano
di Roberta Pacelli

The Contested Countryside. Spatial Planning and Governance across the Urban/Rural Interface in Italy and England
di Luca Lazzarini

Le sfide dell'urbanistica oggi
di Domenico Passarelli

Prato. Città e produzione
di Michele Cerruti But

La rivista come progetto. Urbanistica Informazioni Nuova Serie
di Carolina Giaimo

Oltre il futuro. Pianificare nell'incertezza per progettare l'imprevedibilità / BEYOND THE FUTURE. Planning in uncertainty to design unpredictability
a cura di Francesco Domenico Moccia e Marichela Sepe

Prossimità relazionale. Un modello progressista di urbanistica
di Elisa Caruso

Copia omaggio autori

The Biennial of European Towns and Town Planners is an event of the European Council of Urban Planners ECTP-CEU which aims at discussing the main issues in the European debate relating to urban planning by sharing them with urban planners, architects, engineers, economists, sociologists, historians of architecture, citizens, politicians, private and non-governmental organizations (NGOs).
The theme chosen for the 14° Edition, organized from 22 to 24 April 2024 in Naples with the INU as the main organizer, is Inclusive Cities and Regions/ Territoires inclusifs. Inclusion is understood in its multiple declinations that define the 10 general themes of this Biennial - Regional issues and regional disparity, Metropolitan or city proposals, Urban regeneration and Public Spaces, Migration and cultural inclusion, Cultural heritage, Resilience and adaptation, New economic approaches, IT and the use of artificial intelligence in planning, Ports, airports and other infrastructures, Underground space – and in additional ones proposed by the participants who further specify the general themes namely About Spatial Inclusivity, Urban regeneration and spatial justice with Nature-Based Solution, Inclusive public spaces for water cities facing climate change, Italian UNESCO Chairs vision and actions, A Transdisciplinary Approach to Placemaking and Inclusivity: COST Action Dynamics of Placemaking, Inclusive city Ecosystems, Youthbanism for a New Generation of Urbanists, Fragile geographies. Visions, projects and studies to mitigate and adapt to environmental and anthropogenic risk, Green Oasis for the 15 minutes city model, Making/unmaking urban circular economies with 'otherness', Public space for inclusive cities: the Biennial of Public Space, Universal accessibility and university education, the knowledge network, Findings and Evidences from the PNRR project RETURN, and River Contracts as voluntary and negotiated planning tools.
The works contained in this Catalogue, presented by administrators, professionals, academics, and researchers concern projects, policies and research that have international interest and, at the same time, attention to the local, all at different scales.
It is possible, from this vastness of topics, to understand the broad discussion that resulted, outlining new interested subjects and involved actors, as well as new possible intersections of themes.

Marichela Sepe is Associate Professor at the DICEA Sapienza Università di Roma. She has also joined the ISMed-CNR and the DiARC-University Federico II. In 2013 she has been visiting Professor in the Peking University and held lectures in the Peking, Wuhan and Xi'an Universities. Her research interests include: urban design and planning; place identity; healthy city; livable public spaces; creative urban regeneration; multimedia. On these topics, she has published several national and international journal articles, conference papers, books and book chapters.
Currently she is Responsible of the Urban Impact Unit of the "SUMMA" PRIN 2020, and member of the COST Dynamics of placemaking, and Writing Urban Places. She is responsible of the Scientific Laboratory "Geodesign and Urbandesign" of LUPT University Federico II.
Sepe is President of the Biennial of Public Space Association, Vice President of INU Campania section and member of the national INU Governing Board, coordinator of the GUDesign network, member of the Eura Governing Board and member of Urban Design Group. In 2014-2023 she won: the Ardito-Desio Award for the paper presented at Ipsapa 2014, 2016 and 2018 Conference; the Urban Planning Literature Award of the Italian National Urban Planning Institute (INU) in 2014, 2015 and 2017 and the 2023 Horizon Europe Sapienza Award.

In the cover
Hug. Napoli, San Francesco di Paola colonnades.
(source: Marichela Sepe' archive)

Formato digitale
ISBN 978-88-7603-254-7 (e-Book) Euro 12,50

Copia omaggio autori